A NOTE ON FOURIER COEFFICIENTS OF FUNCTIONS OF GENERALIZED WIENER CLASS

RAJENDRA G. VYAS

Abstract. Let f denote a 2π periodic function in $L[0, 2\pi]$, and $\hat{f}(n), n \in \mathbb{Z}$, be its Fourier coefficients. For a function f of the generalized Wiener class $\bigwedge BV(p(n) \uparrow \infty)$ we have proved that

$$\hat{f}(n) = O\left(1/\left(\sum_{i=1}^{|n|} \frac{1}{\lambda_i}\right)^{1/p(k(n))}\right).$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 42A16. Key words and phrases: Fourier coefficients, generalized Wiener class, p- Λ -bounded variation.

Let f be a 2π periodic function in $L[0, 2\pi]$, and $\hat{f}(n), n \in Z$, be its Fourier coefficients. R. Siddiqi [5] extended the classical result " $f \in BV[0, 2\pi]$ implies its Fourier coefficients $\hat{f}(n) = O(\frac{1}{|n|})$ " for the Wiener class. He proved that " $f \in BV(p, [0, 2\pi])$ $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ implies $\hat{f}(n) = O(\frac{1}{|n|^{1/p}})$ ". The concept of Wiener class was generalized by H. Kita and K. Yoneda [1] as generalized Wiener class BV $(p(n) \uparrow \infty)$ and also by Shiba [4] as p- Λ -bounded variations $(\Lambda BV(p))$. From these two generalizations, one can define a more generalized class $\Lambda BV(p(n) \uparrow \infty)$ as follows.

Definition. Given a subinterval I of $[0, 2\pi]$, a sequence $\bigwedge = \{\lambda_m\}$ (m = 1, 2, ...) of non-decreasing positive real numbers λ_m such that $\sum \frac{1}{\lambda_m}$ diverges and $1 \leq p(n) \uparrow \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, we say that $f \in \bigwedge BV(p(n) \uparrow \infty, I)$ (that is, f is a function of p(n)- \bigwedge -bounded variation over (I)) if

$$V_{\Lambda}(f, p(n), I) = \sup_{n \ge 1} \sup_{\{I_m\}} \{V_{\Lambda}(\{I_m\}, f, p(n), I) : \rho\{I_m\} > 2\pi/2^n\} < \infty,$$

where

$$V_{\Lambda}(\{I_m\}, f, p(n), I) = \left(\sum_{m} \frac{|f(a_m) - f(b_m)|^{p(n)}}{\lambda_m}\right)^{1/p(n)},$$

$$\rho\{I_m\} = \inf_{m} |a_m - b_m|$$

and $\{I_m\}$ is a sequence of nonoverlapping subintervals $I_m = [a_m, b_m] \subset I = [a, b]$.

Note that if p(n) = p for all n, one gets the class $\bigwedge BV(p, I)$; if $\lambda_m \equiv 1$ for all m, one gets the class $BV(p(n) \uparrow \infty)$; if p(n) = 1 for all n and $\lambda_m \equiv 1$ for all

ISSN 1072-947X / \$8.00 / © Heldermann Verlag www.heldermann.de

m, one gets the class BV(I); if p(n) = 1 for all *n* and $\lambda_m \equiv m$ for all *m*, one gets the class Harmonic BV(I).

Schramm and Waterman [3] estimated the Fourier coefficients of function of $\bigwedge BV(p)$. They proved the following theorem.

Theorem A. If $f \in \bigwedge BV(p, [0, 2\pi])$ $(1 \le p < \infty)$, then

$$\hat{f}(n) = O\left(1/\left(\sum_{i=1}^{|n|} \frac{1}{\lambda_i}\right)^{1/p}\right).$$

For the generalized Wiener class T. Akhobadze [6] proved the following theorem.

Theorem B. If $f \in BV(p(n) \uparrow \infty, [0, 2\pi])$, then $\hat{f}(n) = O(1/|n|^{1/p(k(n))})$, where k(n) is an integer for which

$$1 + \log_2 |n| < k(n) \le 2 + \log_2 |n|. \tag{1}$$

Here we have extended these two results and estimated the order of the magnitude of the Fourier coefficients of functions of $\bigwedge BV(p(n) \uparrow \infty)$.

Theorem. Let $f \in \bigwedge BV(p(n) \uparrow \infty, [0, 2\pi])$, then

$$\hat{f}(n) = O\left(1/\left(\sum_{i=1}^{|n|} \frac{1}{\lambda_i}\right)^{1/p(k(n))}\right),$$

where k(n) is an integer satisfying (1).

Remark. Here, p(n) = p for all n, reduces the class $\bigwedge BV(p(n) \uparrow \infty)$ to the class $\bigwedge BV(p)$, and $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{|n|} \frac{1}{\lambda_i}\right)^{1/p(k(n))}$ reduces to $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{|n|} \frac{1}{\lambda_i}\right)^{1/p}$, that is we get Theorem A as a particular case. Similarly, $\lambda_m \equiv 1$ for all m, reduces the class $\bigwedge BV(p(n) \uparrow \infty)$ to the class $BV(p(n) \uparrow \infty)$ and we get Theorem B as a particular case. Thus the theorem generalizes a non-lacunary analogue of our earlier result [2, Theorem 5].

Proof of the Theorem. We know that

$$\hat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} f(x)e^{-inx}dx,$$

$$\hat{f}(n) = \frac{-1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} f\left(x + \frac{\pi}{n}\right)e^{-inx}dx,$$

$$\hat{f}(n) = \frac{-1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left(T_{\frac{\pi}{n}}f\right)(x)e^{-inx}dx, \text{ where } \left(T_{\frac{\pi}{n}}f\right)(x) = f\left(x + \frac{\pi}{n}\right).$$

536

Then

$$|\hat{f}(n)| = \frac{1}{4\pi} \bigg| \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left(f(x) - \left(T_{\frac{\pi}{n}} f \right)(x) \right) e^{-inx} dx \bigg|.$$
(1.1)

Because of the periodicity of f(x), we have for any positive integer j

$$\left| \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left(T_{\frac{j\pi}{n}} f - T_{\frac{(j-1)\pi}{n}} f \right)(x) dx \right| = \left| \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left(f(x) - \left(T_{\frac{\pi}{n}} f \right)(x) \right) dx \right|,$$

this together with (1.1) implies

$$|\hat{f}(n)| \le \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \left(T_{\frac{j\pi}{n}} f - T_{\frac{(j-1)\pi}{n}} f \right)(x) \right| dx.$$
(1.2)

For a given natural number |n|, let k(n) be an integer such that

$$\frac{\pi}{2|n|} \leq \frac{2\pi}{2^{k(n)}} \leq \frac{\pi}{|n|},$$

i.e., (1) is true. Let q(k(n)) be such that $\frac{1}{p(k(n))} + \frac{1}{q(k(n))} = 1$, then by the Hölder inequality, from (1.2) we get

$$\begin{split} |\hat{f}(n)| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \bigg(\int_{0}^{2\pi} \Big| \Big(T_{\frac{j\pi}{n}} f - T_{\frac{(j-1)\pi}{n}} f \Big)(x) \Big|^{p(k(n))} dx \bigg)^{1/p(k(n))} \bigg(\int_{0}^{2\pi} 1 dx \bigg)^{1/q(k(n))} \\ &= \bigg(\frac{1}{2\pi} \bigg)^{1/p(k(n))} \bigg(\int_{0}^{2\pi} \Big| \Big(T_{\frac{j\pi}{n}} f - T_{\frac{(j-1)\pi}{n}} f \Big)(x) \Big|^{p(k(n))} dx \bigg)^{1/p(k(n))}. \end{split}$$

Then

$$|\hat{f}(n)|^{p(k(n))} \le \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \left(T_{\frac{j\pi}{n}} f - T_{\frac{(j-1)\pi}{n}} f \right)(x) \right|^{p(k(n))} dx \right).$$
(1.3)

Dividing both sides of equation (1.3) by λ_j and then performing summation from j = 1 to |n|, we get

$$|\hat{f}(n)|^{p(k(n))} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{|n|} \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right) \le \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{|n|} \frac{\left|\left(T_{\frac{j\pi}{n}}f - T_{\frac{(j-1)\pi}{n}}f\right)(x)\right|^{p(k(n))}}{\lambda_j} dx\right).$$

Hence $|\hat{f}(n)|^{p(k(n))} \leq \frac{V_{\wedge}(f,p(n),[0,2\pi])}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{|n|} \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)}$. This proves the theorem.

R. G. VYAS

References

- H. KITA and K. YONEDA, A generalization of bounded variation. Acta Math. Hungar. 56(1990), No. 3-4, 229–238.
- J. R. PATADIA and R. G. VYAS, Fourier series with small gaps and functions of generalised variations. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 182(1994), No. 1, 113–126.
- M. SCHRAMM and D. WATERMAN, On the magnitude of Fourier coefficients. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 85(1982), No. 3, 407–410.
- M. SHIBA, On absolute convergence of Fourier series of function of class Λ BV^(p). Sci. Rep. Fac. Ed. Fukushima Univ. No. 30 (1980), 7–10.
- R. SIDDIQI, The order of Fourier coefficients of function of higher variation. Proc. Japan Acad. 48(1972), 569–572.
- T. AKHOBADZE, On Fourier coefficients of functions of generalized Wiener class. *Geor*gian Math. J. 7(2000), No. 1, 1–10.

(Received 9.08.2004)

Author's address:

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda Vadodara-390002, Gujarat India E-mail: drrgvyas@yahoo.com