

# A FURTHER GENERALIZATION OF HARDY-HILBERT'S INTEGRAL INEQUALITY WITH PARAMETER AND APPLICATIONS

L. HE, S. S. DRAGOMIR and Q. YANG

*Received April 23, 2004 and, in revised form, January 28, 2005*

**Abstract.** In this paper, by introducing some parameters and by employing a sharpening of Hölder's inequality, a new generalization of Hardy-Hilbert integral inequality involving the Beta function is established. At the same time, an extension of Widder's theorem is given.

## 1. Introduction

Suppose that  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , and  $f, g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  are so that

$$0 < \int_0^{\infty} f^p(t) dt < \infty, \quad 0 < \int_0^{\infty} g^q(t) dt < \infty.$$

Then we may state the following integral inequality

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26D15.

*Key words and phrases.* Hardy-Hilbert's integral inequality, Hölder's inequality, weight coefficient, Beta function, Widder's theorem.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y} dx dy \\ & \leq \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left( \int_0^\infty f^p(t) dt \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_0^\infty g^q(t) dt \right)^{1/q}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

in which the constant factor  $\pi/\sin(\pi/p)$  is the best possible.

The inequality (1.1) is well known in the literature as Hardy-Hilbert's integral inequality.

Recently, some improvements and generalizations of Hardy-Hilbert's integral inequality have been given. For instance, we refer the reader to the papers [1]–[3], [5], [6], [8] and the bibliography therein.

The main purpose of this paper is to establish a new extended Hardy-Hilbert's type inequality, which includes improvements and generalisations of the corresponding results from [1]–[2].

## 2. Lemmas and their proofs

For convenience, we firstly introduce some notations:

$$\begin{aligned} (f^r, g^s) &= \int_\alpha^\infty f^r(x)g^s(x)dx, & \|f\|_p &= \left( \int_\alpha^\infty f^p(x)dx \right)^{1/p}, \\ \|f\|_2 &= \|f\|, & S_r(H, x) &= \left( H^{r/2}, x \right) \|H\|_r^{-r/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $x$  is a parametric variable unit vector. Clearly,  $S_r(H, x) = 0$  when the vector  $x$  selected is orthogonal to  $H^{p/2}$ .

Throughout this paper,  $m$  is taken to be

$$m = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q} \right\}.$$

In order to state our results, we need to point out the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $f(x), g(x) > 0, x \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  and  $p > 1$ . If  $0 < \|f\|_p < \infty, 0 < \|g\|_q < \infty$ , then*

$$(f, g) < \|f\|_p \|g\|_q (1 - R)^m, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $R = (S_p(f, h) - S_q(g, h))^2, \|h\| = 1, f^{p/2}(x), g^{q/2}(x)$  and  $h(x)$  are linearly independent.

The lemma is proved in [5], and we omit the details.

In the following, we define

$$k_\lambda = B \left( \frac{p + \lambda - 2}{p}, \frac{q + \lambda - 2}{q} \right),$$

$$\theta_\lambda(r) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{(2-\lambda)/r} du \quad (r = p, q),$$

where

$$B(u, v) = \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{u-1}}{(1+t)^{u+v}} dt \quad (u, v > 0)$$

is the *Beta function*.

The following lemma also holds.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $b < 1$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ . Define the function*

$$\varphi(b, y) = y^{-1+b} \int_0^y \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^b du, \quad y \in (0, 1].$$

*Then we have*

$$\varphi(b, y) > \varphi(b, 1), \quad (0 < y < 1). \tag{2.2}$$

A proof of Lemma 2 is given in paper [8], and we omit it here.

Another technical result that will be required in the following is:

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $\lambda > 2 - \min\{p, q\}$ ,  $\alpha \geq -\beta$ . Define the weight function  $\omega_\lambda$  by*

$$\omega_\lambda(\alpha, \beta, r, x) = \int_\alpha^\infty \frac{1}{(x+y+2\beta)^\lambda} \left(\frac{x+\beta}{y+\beta}\right)^{(2-\lambda)/r} dy \tag{2.3}$$

$x \in (\alpha, \infty).$

(i) *For  $\alpha = -\beta$ ,*

$$\omega_\lambda(-\beta, \beta, r, x) = k_\lambda(x+\beta)^{1-\lambda} \quad x \in (-\beta, \infty). \tag{2.4}$$

(ii) *For  $\alpha > -\beta$ ,*

$$\omega_\lambda(\alpha, \beta, r, x) < \left[ k_\lambda - \theta_\lambda(r) \left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{x+\beta}\right)^{1+(\lambda-2)/r} \right] (x+\beta)^{1-\lambda} \tag{2.5}$$

$x \in (\alpha, \infty).$

**Proof.** Setting  $u = (y+\beta)/(x+\beta)$ , we have

$$\omega_\lambda(\alpha, \beta, r, x) = (x+\beta)^{1-\lambda} \int_{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{x+\beta}}^\infty \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{(2-\lambda)/r} du.$$

(i) For  $\alpha = -\beta$ , (2.4) is valid.

(ii) For  $\alpha > -\beta$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_\lambda(\alpha, \beta, r, x) \\ &= (x + \beta)^{1-\lambda} \left\{ \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{(2-\lambda)/r} du \right. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left. - \int_0^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{x+\beta}} \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{(2-\lambda)/r} du \right\} \\ &= (x + \beta)^{1-\lambda} \left\{ k_\lambda - \left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{x+\beta}\right)^{1+(\lambda-2)/r} \varphi\left(\frac{2-\lambda}{r}, \frac{\alpha+\beta}{x+\beta}\right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Putting  $b = (2 - \lambda)/r$ , and since  $\lambda > 2 - \min\{p, q\}$ ,  $b < 1$  is valid, then by Lemma 2 we get

$$\varphi\left(\frac{2-\lambda}{r}, \frac{\alpha+\beta}{x+\beta}\right) > \varphi\left(\frac{2-\lambda}{r}, 1\right) = \theta_\lambda(r) \quad (x \in (0, \infty)). \quad (2.8)$$

Substituting (2.8) into (2.7), we obtain (2.5). The proof is completed.  $\square$

Finally, the following result is needed as well.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $a_n$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) be complex numbers. If*

$$A(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

*is analytic on unit disk  $|z| \leq 1$ , and*

$$A^*(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n z^n}{n!}$$

*is analytic on  $|z| < \infty$ , then*

$$\int_0^1 |A(x)|^2 dx = \int_0^1 \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-s/x} A^*(s) ds \right|^2 \frac{1}{x^2} dx, \quad (2.9)$$

*where  $s \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $x \in (0, 1]$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $A^*(z)$  is analytic on the complex plane, the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t} a_n (xt)^n}{n!}$$

is uniformly convergent in  $(0, \infty)$ , and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} A^*(tx) dt &= \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{a_n (xt)^n}{n!} dt \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{a_n x^n}{n!} \int_0^\infty t^n e^{-t} dt \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n x^n = A(x). \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $tx = s$ , then

$$A(x) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^\infty e^{-s/x} A^*(s) ds$$

whence

$$\int_0^1 |A(x)|^2 dx = \int_0^1 \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-s/x} A^*(s) ds \right|^2 \frac{1}{x^2} dx.$$

The lemma is thus proved.  $\square$

### 3. Main results

For the sake of convenience, we need the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, y) &= \frac{f(x)}{(x+y+2\beta)^{\lambda/p}} \left( \frac{x+\beta}{y+\beta} \right)^{(2-\lambda)/(pq)}, \\ G(x, y) &= \frac{g(y)}{(x+y+2\beta)^{\lambda/q}} \left( \frac{y+\beta}{x+\beta} \right)^{(2-\lambda)/(pq)}, \\ \phi(r, x) &= \int_0^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{x+\beta}} \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda} \left( \frac{1}{u} \right)^{(2-\lambda)/r} du, \\ S_p(F, h) &= \left\{ \int_\alpha^\infty \int_\alpha^\infty F^{p/2} h dx dy \right\} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \int_\alpha^\infty [k_\lambda - \phi(q, x)] (x+\beta)^{1-\lambda} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{-1/2}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S_q(G, h) &= \left\{ \int_\alpha^\infty \int_\alpha^\infty G^{q/2} h dx dy \right\} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \int_\alpha^\infty [k_\lambda - \phi(p, x)] (x+\beta)^{1-\lambda} g^q(x) dx \right\}^{-1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $h = h(x, y)$  is a unit vector satisfying the property

$$\|h\| = \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} h^2(x, y) dx dy \right\}^{1/2} = 1$$

and  $F^{p/2}$ ,  $G^{q/2}$ ,  $h$  are linearly independent.

The first main result is incorporated in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $\lambda > 2 - \min\{p, q\}$ ,  $\alpha \geq -\beta$ , and  $f, g > 0$ . Assume also that*

$$0 < \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} (t + \beta)^{1-\lambda} f^p(t) dt < \infty,$$

and

$$0 < \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} (t + \beta)^{1-\lambda} g^q(t) dt < \infty.$$

(i) *If  $\alpha > -\beta$ , then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y+2\beta)^{\lambda}} dx dy & (3.2) \\ & < \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \left( k_{\lambda} - \theta_{\lambda}(q) \left( \frac{\alpha + \beta}{t + \beta} \right)^{1+(\lambda-2)/q} \right) (t + \beta)^{1-\lambda} f^p(t) dt \right\}^{1/p} \\ & \times \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \left( k_{\lambda} - \theta_{\lambda}(p) \left( \frac{\alpha + \beta}{t + \beta} \right)^{1+(\lambda-2)/p} \right) (t + \beta)^{1-\lambda} g^q(t) dt \right\}^{1/q} (1 - R_{\lambda})^m. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *If  $\alpha = -\beta$ , then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y+2\beta)^{\lambda}} dx dy & (3.3) \\ & < k_{\lambda} \left( \int_{-\beta}^{\infty} (t + \beta)^{1-\lambda} f^p(t) dt \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_{-\beta}^{\infty} (t + \beta)^{1-\lambda} g^q(t) dt \right)^{1/q} (1 - R_{\lambda})^m, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R_{\lambda} = (S_p(F, h) - S_q(G, h))^2,$$

while the function  $h$  is defined by

$$h(x, y) = \left( \frac{2}{\pi} \right)^{1/2} \frac{e^{\alpha-x}}{(x+y-2\alpha)^{1/2}} \left( \frac{x-\alpha}{y-\alpha} \right)^{1/4}. \quad (3.4)$$

**Proof.** By Lemma 1 and the equality (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y+2\beta)^{\lambda}} dx dy & (3.5) \\
 &= \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} FG dx dy \\
 &\leq \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} F^p dx dy \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} G^q dx dy \right\}^{1/q} (1 - R_{\lambda})^m \\
 &= \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \omega_{\lambda}(\alpha, \beta, q, t) f^q(t) dt \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \omega_{\lambda}(\alpha, \beta, p, t) g^p(t) dt \right)^{1/q} (1 - R_{\lambda})^m.
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (2.5) and (2.4) into the inequality (3.5) respectively, the inequalities (3.2) and (3.3) follow.

Next, let us discuss the expression  $R_{\lambda}$ .

We can choose the function  $h$  indicated by (3.4). Setting  $s = x - \alpha$  and  $t = y - \alpha$ , we get

$$\|h\|^2 = \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} h^2(x, y) dx dy = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-2s} ds \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{s+t} \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{1/2} dt = 1.$$

Hence,  $\|h\| = 1$ .

By Lemma 1 and the given  $h$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{\lambda} = & \left\{ \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} F^{p/2} h dx dy \right) \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} F^p dx dy \right)^{-1/2} \right. \\
 & \left. - \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} G^{q/2} h dx dy \right) \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} G^q dx dy \right)^{-1/2} \right\}^2. & (3.6)
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (2.3), (2.6) and (3.1) into (3.6), we get

$$R_{\lambda} = (S_p(F, h) - S_q(G, h))^2.$$

It is obvious that  $F^{p/2}$ ,  $G^{q/2}$  and  $h$  are linearly independent, so it is impossible for equality to hold in (3.5).

The proof is thus completed. □

Owing to  $p, q > 1$ , when  $\lambda = 1, 2$ ; the condition  $\lambda > 2 - \min\{p, q\}$  is satisfied. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \theta_1(r) &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1+u} \left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{1/r} du > \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1+u} du = \ln 2, \\
 k_1 &= B\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}\right) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\theta_2(r) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1+u)^2} du = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$k_2 = B\left(\frac{p+2-2}{p}, \frac{q+2-2}{q}\right) = B(1,1) = 1.$$

The following results are natural consequences of Theorem 1.

**Corollary 1.** *If  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $\alpha \geq \beta$ ,  $f, g > 0$ ,*

$$0 < \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} f^p(t) dt < \infty,$$

and

$$0 < \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} g^q(t) dt < \infty,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y+2\beta} dx dy \\ & < \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} - \left( \frac{\alpha+\beta}{t+\beta} \right)^{1/p} \ln 2 \right) f^p(t) dt \right\}^{1/p} \\ & \times \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} - \left( \frac{\alpha+\beta}{t+\beta} \right)^{1/q} \ln 2 \right) g^q(t) dt \right\}^{1/q} (1-R_1)^m, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\beta}^{\infty} \int_{-\beta}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y+2\beta} dx dy \\ & < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left( \int_{-\beta}^{\infty} f^p(t) dt \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_{-\beta}^{\infty} g^q(t) dt \right)^{1/q} (1-R_1)^m, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y} dx dy \\ & < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left( \int_0^{\infty} f^p(t) dt \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_0^{\infty} g^q(t) dt \right)^{1/q} (1-R_1)^m. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

**Remark 1.** When  $p = q = 2$ , the inequality (3.9) reduces, after some simple computation, to an inequality obtained in [2].



**Corollary 2.** If  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $\alpha \geq \beta$ ,  $f, g > 0$ ,

$$0 < \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} (t + \beta)^{-1} f^p(t) dt < \infty$$

and

$$0 < \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} (t + \beta)^{-1} g^q(t) dt < \infty,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x + y + 2\beta)^2} dx dy \\ & < \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2(t + \beta)} \right) \frac{1}{t + \beta} f^p(t) dt \right\}^{1/p} \\ & \times \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2(t + \beta)} \right) \frac{1}{t + \beta} g^q(t) dt \right\}^{1/q} (1 - R_2)^m \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\beta}^{\infty} \int_{-\beta}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x + y + 2\beta)^2} dx dy \\ & < \left( \int_{-\beta}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t + \beta} f^p(t) dt \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_{-\beta}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t + \beta} g^q(t) dt \right)^{1/q} (1 - R_2)^m. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

**Remark 2.** The inequalities (3.2), (3.3) and (3.7)–(3.9) are generalizations of (1.1).

**Remark 3.** We can also define  $h(x, y)$  as

$$h(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & (x, y) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \\ 0 & (x, y) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \setminus [0, 1] \times [0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

In this case, the expression of  $R_{\lambda}$  will be much simpler. The details are omitted.

#### 4. Applications

We start with the following result:

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that  $a_n$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) are complex numbers. Also, define

$$A(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n, \quad A^*(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n x^n}{n!},$$

and the function  $f$  as:

$$f(x) = e^{-x} A^*(x), \quad x \in (0, \infty). \quad (4.1)$$

If  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 |A(x)|^2 dx \\ & < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left( \int_0^{\infty} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_0^{\infty} |f(x)|^q dx \right)^{1/q} (1 - \bar{R})^m, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$\bar{R} := (S_p(\bar{F}, h) - S_q(\bar{G}, h))^2 > 0,$$

with  $\|h\| = 1$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{F} &:= \frac{|f(s)|}{(s+t)^{1/p}} \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{1/(pq)}; & \bar{G} &:= \frac{|f(t)|}{(s+t)^{1/q}} \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{1/(pq)}, \\ \psi(t) &:= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-s}}{(s+t)} \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{(q-p)/(2pq)} ds, \\ S_p(\bar{F}, h) &:= \sqrt{2} \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} e^{-s} |f(s)|^{p/2} ds \right\} \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} |f(s)|^p ds \right\}^{-1/2}, \\ S_q(\bar{G}, h) &:= \frac{\sqrt{2} \sin(\pi/p)}{\pi} \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} \psi(t) |f(t)|^{q/2} dt \right\} \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} |f(s)|^q ds \right\}^{-1/2} \end{aligned}$$

and  $(\bar{F})^{p/2}$ ,  $(\bar{G})^{q/2}$ ,  $h$  are linearly independent.

**Proof.** Setting  $y = 1/x$  on the right-hand side of the equality (2.9), we have

$$\int_0^1 |A(x)|^2 dx = \int_1^{\infty} \left| \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sy} A^*(s) ds \right|^2 dy. \quad (4.3)$$

Next, put  $u = y - 1$ . According to the equalities (4.1) and (4.3), we get

$$\int_0^1 |A(x)|^2 dx = \int_0^{\infty} du \left| \int_0^{\infty} e^{-su} f(s) ds \right|^2.$$

Using Hardy's technique, we may state that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^1 |A(x)|^2 dx &= \int_0^\infty du \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-su} f(s) ds \right|^2 \\
 &= \int_0^\infty du \int_0^\infty e^{-su} f(s) ds \overline{\int_0^\infty e^{-tu} f(t) dt} \\
 &\leq \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty e^{-(s+t)u} du \right) |f(s)| |f(t)| ds dt \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{|f(s)| |f(t)|}{s+t} ds dt \\
 &\leq \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left( \int_0^\infty |f(x)|^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_0^\infty |f(x)|^q \right)^{1/q} (1 - \overline{R})^m.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us choose the function  $h(s, t)$  to be defined by

$$h(s, t) := \left( \frac{2}{\pi} \right)^{1/2} \frac{e^{-s}}{(s+t)^{1/2}} \left( \frac{s}{t} \right)^{1/4},$$

then

$$\|h\| = \left\{ \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty h^2(s, t) ds dt \right\}^{1/2} = 1.$$

Notice that

$$k_1(p) = B\left(\frac{1}{q}, \frac{1}{p}\right) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)},$$

and, in a similar way to the one in Theorem 1, the expression of  $\overline{R}$  is easily given. We omit the details.  $\square$

**Remark 4.** In particular, when  $p = q = 2$ , it follows from (4.2) that

$$\int_0^1 A^2(x) dx = \pi(1-r)^{1/2} \int_0^\infty f^2(x) dx. \tag{4.4}$$

If  $r$  in (4.4) is replaced by zero, then Widder's theorem (see [7]) can be recaptured.

**Remark 5.** After simple computation, the inequality (4.4) is equivalent to the inequality (3.4) in [2]. Consequently, inequality (4.2) is an extension of (3.4) in [2].

### References

- [1] Gao, Mingzhe, *On the Hilbert inequality*, Z. Anal. Anwendungen **18**(4) (1999), 1117–1122.
- [2] Gao, Mingzhe, Li, Tan, Debnath, L., *Some improvements on Hilbert's integral inequality*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **229** (1999), 682–689.
- [3] Gao, Mingzhe, Wei, Shangrong, He, Leping, *On the Hilbert inequality with weights*, Z. Anal. Anwendungen **21**(1) (2002), 257–263.
- [4] Hardy, G. H., Littlewood, J. E., Polya, G., *Inequalities*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1952.
- [5] He, Leping, Gao, Mingzhe, Jia, Weijian, *On the improvement of the Hardy-Hilbert's integral inequality with parameters*, JIPAM J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **4**(5) (2003), Art. 94, [ONLINE: [http://jipam.vu.edu.au/v4n5/108\\_03.html](http://jipam.vu.edu.au/v4n5/108_03.html)].
- [6] He, Leping, Gao, Mingzhe, Wei, Shangrong, *A note on Hilbert's inequality*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **6**(2) (2003), 283–288.
- [7] Widder, D. V., *An inequality related to one of Hilbert's*, J. London Math. Soc. **4** (1924), 194–198.
- [8] Yang, Bicheng, *On Hardy-Hilbert's integral inequality*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **261** (2001), 295–306.

LEPING HE  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 AND COMPUTER SCIENCE  
 JISHOU UNIVERSITY  
 JISHOU, HUNAN 416000  
 PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA  
 E-MAIL: LEPINGHE65729@163.COM

QIAOSHUN YANG  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 AND COMPUTER SCIENCE  
 NORMAL COLLEGE, JISHOU UNIVERSITY  
 JISHOU, HUNAN 416000  
 PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA  
 E-MAIL: YQS244@163.COM

SEVER S. DRAGOMIR  
 SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS  
 VICTORIA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY  
 PO Box 14428, MELBOURNE CITY M.C.  
 VICTORIA 8001, AUSTRALIA  
 E-MAIL: SEVER.DRAGOMIR@VU.EDU.AU  
<http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/SSDragomirWeb.html>