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#### Abstract

The unitarizable modules in the analytic continuation of the holomorphic discrete series for tube type domains are realized as Hilbert spaces obtained through the Laplace transform.


## 0. Introduction

Let $G$ be a connected real semi-simple Lie group with finite center, $U$ a maximal compact subgroup, and assume $G / U$ is a Hermitian symmetric space. Harish Chandra constructed a family of irreducible unitary representations of $G$, called the holomorphic discrete series, realized on holomorphic sections of some vector bundles over $G / U$, square-integrable with respect to the invariant measure on $G / U$.

The vector bundles are associated to irreducible (finite-dimensional) representations of $U$, with some restriction on the dominant weight of the representation in order to have non-trivial $L^{2}$-sections. However the formulae for the action of $G$ make sense for all values of the dominant weight, and Harish Chandra indicated the possibility that some of these modules (or some submodules) might be unitarizable ([10]).

This problem was completely solved ([5],[11]), but the proofs are of algebraic nature and use case-by-case arguments. Moreover there is no concrete realization of the corresponding Hilbert spaces. For more recent work in this direction, see [2], [6], [12]. A few years earlier the special case of line bundles (associated to characters of $U$ ) had been studied, both from the algebraic point of view [19] and the analytic counterpart [17]. We follow here the second approach. To avoid complications, we restrict our attention to tube type domains. We obtain a characterization and a realization of the unitarizable modules in terms of some (operator-valued) measure on a cone $\bar{\Omega}$. In some cases, we are able to completely determine the corresponding measures.

## 1. Geometric preliminaries

Let $V$ be a Euclidean Jordan algebra (for this notion and further properties, see [7]). For sake of simplicity, $V$ is assumed to be simple. Let $<,>$ be the inner product, $e$ the neutral element and let $n=\operatorname{dim} V$.

Let $\Omega$ be the associated cone. Denote by $L$ the connected component of the automorphism group of the cone $\Omega$, i.e.

$$
L=\{l \in G L(V) \mid l \Omega=\Omega\}^{0}
$$

The groupe $L$ is closed under conjugation, hence is reductive. The subgroup $K=\{l \in L \mid l e=e\}$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $L$, and coincides with the connected component of the automorphism group of $V$.

If $x \in V$, we denote by $L(x)$ the mapping $y \mapsto x y$, and by $P(x)=$ $2 L(x)^{2}-L\left(x^{2}\right)$ the so called quadratic representation of $V$.

If $x \in \Omega$, then $P(x)$ is symmetric with respect to the inner product and belongs to $L$. Every element of $L$ can be written as $g=k P(x)$, for some $k \in K$ and some $x \in \Omega$. This is in fact the Cartan decomposition of $L$. For further use, notice the formula $\exp 2 L(x)=P(\exp x)$, for $x \in V$, where on the right handside exp stands for the exponential map in the Jordan algebra $V$.

Let $\Delta$ be the Koecher norm function (also called determinant). $\Delta$ is a polynomial of degree $r$, where $r$ is the rank of the Jordan algebra $V$ ( $r$ is also the rank of the symmetric space $\Omega \simeq L / K)$. Up to a positive constant, $\Omega$ has a unique $L$-invariant measure given by

$$
d^{*} x=\Delta(x)^{-m} d x
$$

where $m=\frac{n}{r}$ ( $m$ turns out to be an integer or half an integer). For further use, notice the formula $\operatorname{Det}(P(x))=\Delta(x)^{2 n / r}$, for $x \in V$.

The Iwasawa decomposition also has a specific realization. Fix a Jordan frame, i.e. $e=c_{1}+c_{2}+\ldots+c_{r}$, where the $\left(c_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ form a complete orthogonal system of primitive idempotents. Let $R=\left\{a=\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i} c_{i}, a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$. The space $\mathfrak{a}=\{L(a) \mid a \in R\}$ is a Cartan subspace in $\mathfrak{p}=\{L(x) \mid x \in V\}$. Let $A=\{\exp L(a), a \in R\}$ be the corresponding Lie subgroup, which can also be viewed as $A=\left\{P(a), a=\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i} c_{i}, a_{i}>0\right\}$. Now for $1 \leq i<j \leq r$, let

$$
V_{i j}=\left\{x \in V \left\lvert\, c_{i} x=c_{j} x=\frac{1}{2} x\right.\right\}
$$

Then $V=\oplus_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{R} c_{j} \bigoplus_{1<i<j<r} V_{i j}$ (Peirce decomposition). For $x, y \in V$, write $x \square y=L(x y)+[L(x), L(y)]$, and for $1 \leq i<j \leq r$ let $\mathfrak{n}_{i j}=V_{i j} \square c_{i}$. Then $\mathfrak{n}=$
$\bigoplus \mathfrak{n}_{i j}$ is the Iwasawa nilpotent subalgebra associated to the Weyl chamber $1 \leq i<j \leq r$
$\mathfrak{a}^{+}=\left\{L(a), a=\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i} c_{i}, a_{1}<a_{2}<\ldots<a_{r}\right\}$. Let $N$ be the analytic subgroup of $L$ with $\operatorname{Lie}(N)=\mathfrak{n}$.

Closely associated to this Iwasawa decomposition is a parametrization of $\Omega$. Let

$$
V^{+}=\left\{u=\sum_{i=1}^{r} u_{j} c_{j}+\sum_{j<k} u_{j k} \quad \mid \quad u_{j}>0, u_{j k} \in V_{j k}\right\} .
$$

For $u^{(j)} \in \bigoplus_{k=j+1}^{r} V_{j k}$ let $\tau\left(u^{(j)}\right)=\exp \left(2 u \square c_{j}\right)$, and for $u=\sum_{i=1}^{r} u_{i} c_{i}+$ $\sum_{j<k} u_{j k} \quad$ in $V^{+}$, let $b_{j}=c_{1}+\ldots+c_{j-1}+u_{j} c_{j}+c_{j+1}+\ldots+c_{r}, 1 \leq j \leq r$, $u^{(j)}=\sum_{k=j+1}^{r} u_{j k}, 1 \leq j \leq r-1$, and define

$$
t(u)=P\left(b_{1}\right) \tau\left(u^{(1)}\right) P\left(b_{2}\right) \tau\left(u^{(2)}\right) \cdots \tau\left(u^{(r-1)}\right) P\left(b_{r}\right) .
$$

Proposition 1.1. The map $u \mapsto t(u) e$ is a bijection from $V_{+}$onto $\Omega$. If

$$
x=\sum_{j=1}^{r} x_{j} c_{j}+\sum_{j<k} x_{j k}
$$

is the Peirce decomposition of $x=t(u) e$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{j} & =u_{j}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1}\left\|u_{k j}\right\|^{2} \\
x_{j k} & =u_{j} u_{j k}+2 \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} u_{l j} u_{l k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The invariant measure on $\Omega$ is given by :

$$
\int_{\Omega} f(x) d^{*} x=2^{r} \int_{V_{+}} f(t(u) e) \prod_{j=1}^{r} u_{j}^{-d(j-1)-1} d u_{j} \prod_{1 \leq j<k \leq r} d u_{j k}
$$

For later use, we need a careful analysis of the $L$ orbits in $\bar{\Omega}$. For $p$, $0 \leq p \leq r$, let $e_{p}=\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_{i}$, and let $\mathcal{O}_{p}$ be the orbit under $L$ of $e_{p}$. Observe that $\mathcal{O}_{0}=\{0\}$, and $\mathcal{O}_{r}=\Omega$.
Proposition 1.2. $\bar{\Omega}=\bigsqcup_{0 \leq p \leq r} \mathcal{O}_{p}$.
Each orbit can be parametrized in a way similar to the parametrization of $\Omega$. We need some more notations. For $0 \leq p \leq r-1$, let $L_{p}$ be the stabilizer of $e_{p}$, and $\mathfrak{l}_{p}$ its Lie algebra. Now let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{a}_{p} & =\left\{L\left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq p} a_{i} c_{i}\right), a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}\right\} \\
\mathfrak{n}_{p} & =\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq p}\left(\bigoplus_{i+1 \leq j \leq r} \mathfrak{n}_{i j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easily checked that $\mathfrak{l}=\mathfrak{l}_{p} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{p} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{p}$. On the group level, we get the density of $L_{p} A_{p} N_{p}$ in $L$, where $A_{p}$ and $N_{p}$ are the analytic subgroups of $L$ corresponding to respectively $\mathfrak{a}_{p}$ and $\mathfrak{n}_{p}$ (see e.g. [17]). To state this result in a way similar to Proposition 1.1, let

$$
V_{p}^{+}=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \mathbb{R}^{+} c_{i} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{p}
$$

For $u=\sum_{i=1}^{p} u_{i} c_{i}+\sum u_{i j} \in V_{p}^{+}$, let as above

$$
t(u)=P\left(b_{1}\right) \tau\left(u^{(1)}\right) P\left(b_{2}\right) \tau\left(u^{(2)}\right) \cdots \tau\left(u^{(p-1)}\right) P\left(b_{p}\right)
$$

where $u^{(i)}=\sum_{j=i+1}^{r} u_{i j}, 1 \leq i \leq p$.

Proposition 1.3. Let $1 \leq p \leq r-1$. The mapping $u \mapsto t(u) e_{p}$ from $V_{p}^{+}$into $V$ is a one-to-one map into a dense subset $\mathcal{O}_{p}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{p}$. There exists a unique (up to a positive scalar) relatively invariant measure $\nu_{p}$ on $\mathcal{O}_{p}$. The complementary set $\mathcal{O}_{p} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{p}^{\prime}$ has $\nu_{p}$ measure 0 . In the corresponding coordinates $\nu_{p}$ is given by

$$
\int_{\mathcal{O}_{p}} f(x) d \nu_{p}(x)=\int_{V_{p}^{+}} f\left(t(u) e_{p}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{p} u_{j}^{(p-j+1) d-1} d u_{j} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq p \\ i<j \leq r}} d u_{i j}
$$

The relative invariance is expressed by the following formula :

$$
\int_{\mathcal{O}_{p}} f(l x) d \nu_{p}(x)=(\operatorname{det} l)^{-s_{p}} \int_{\mathcal{O}_{p}} f(x) d \nu_{p}(x), \forall l \in L
$$

where $s_{p}=\frac{r}{2 n} d p$ ( $d$ is the common dimension of all $\mathfrak{n}_{i j}$, for $\left.1 \leq i<j \leq r\right)$. For a proof, see [17] or [7], p. 134.

Let $V_{\mathbb{C}}=V+i V$ be the complexification of $V$ and let $T_{\Omega}$ be the associated tube domain. When equipped with the Bergman metric, $T_{\Omega}$ is a hermitian symmetric space. Let $G=G\left(T_{\Omega}\right)$ be the connected component of the identity in the group of bi-holomorphic transformations of $T_{\Omega}$, and let $U$ be the stabilizer in $G$ of the base point $i e \in T_{\Omega}$. An important fact is that $L$ and $U$ are two real forms of the same complex Lie group, namely the connected component of the identity in $\operatorname{Str}\left(V_{\mathbb{C}}\right)$, where

$$
\operatorname{Str}\left(V_{\mathbb{C}}\right)=\left\{g \in G L\left(V_{\mathbb{C}}\right) \mid \forall x \in V_{\mathbb{C}}, P(g x)=g P(x) g^{t}\right\}
$$

with the obvious extension of $P$ to $V_{\mathbb{C}}$.
If $g \in L$, still denote by $g$ its complex linear extension to $V_{\mathbb{C}}$. It clearly preserves $T_{\Omega}$, giving a natural map from $L$ into $G$. The Cartan subspace $\mathfrak{a}$ (for the pair $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{k}))$ turns out to be also a Cartan subspace for the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{u})$, where $\mathfrak{g}=\operatorname{Lie}(G)$ and $\mathfrak{u}=\operatorname{Lie}(U)$. Another important subgroup of $G$ is the subgroup of translations $N^{+}$. In fact to each $v \in V$, is associated the translation $t_{v}$ given by $z \mapsto z+v$. $N^{+}$is clearly isomorphic to $V$ (as Abelian group). Moreover, the semi-direct product $L N^{+}$(where $L$ acts on $N^{+}$by its natural action on $V$ ) is the group of all affine transformations of $T_{\Omega} . N^{+}$is a subgroup of the Iwasawa subgroup associated to the positive Weyl chamber $\mathfrak{a}^{++}=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i} c_{i} \mid 0<a_{1}<a_{2}<\ldots<a_{r}\right\}$. In fact the full Iwasawa subgroup is the semi-direct product $N N^{+}$. Finally, it is worth mentioning that the group $G$ is generated by $L, N^{+}$, and the inversion $z \mapsto-z^{-1}$.

The action of $G$ on $T_{\Omega}$ can be (locally) extended to an action of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ on $T_{\Omega}$. For $g \in G^{\mathbb{C}}, z \in T_{\Omega}$ and $g . z \in T_{\Omega}$, define the automorphy factor $J(g, z)=\frac{\partial(g, z)}{\partial z}$. When defined, it turns out that $J(g, z)$ is always in $L^{\mathbb{C}}$, and satisfies the cocycle identity $J\left(g_{1} g_{2}, z\right)=J\left(g_{1}, g_{2} . z\right) J\left(g_{2}, z\right)$. Obviously, if $l$ is in $L$, then $J(l, z)=l$.

## 2. Invariant cones and Ol'shanskiĭ semigroups

An important property of the hermitian pairs is the existence of $\operatorname{Ad}(G)$ invariant cones in $\mathfrak{g}$. Cones are assumed to be convex, closed with a nonvoid interior and
proper. One of the main facts is the existence of a minimal invariant cone $C_{\min }$ and a maximal invariant cone $C_{\text {max }}$, in the sense that any invariant cone $C$ contains either $C_{\min }$ or $-C_{\min }$ and similarly is contained in $C_{\max }$ or $-C_{\max }$.

Theorem 2.1. The cone $C_{\min }$ is generated (up to $\pm 1$ ) by $t_{e_{r}}$ viewed as an element in $\mathfrak{n}^{+}=$Lie $\left(N^{+}\right)$, i.e. $C_{\min }$ is the smallest closed convex cone containing the $\operatorname{Ad}(G)$-orbit of $t_{e_{r}}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$.
Proof. By Vinberg's theorem ([18]), $C_{\min }$ contains a (unique) ray which is invariant by a minimal parabolic subgroup. Thanks to the structure of the nilpotent factor $N N^{+}$, it is clear that this ray can only be $\pm \mathbb{R}^{+} t_{e_{r}}$.

From now on, denote by $C_{\min }$ (resp., $C_{\max }$ ) the minimal (resp., maximal) cone that contains $t_{e_{r}}$.

As discovered by Ol'shanskiĭ (see [16]), to any invariant cone $C$, it is possible to associate a semi-group $\Gamma_{C}=G \exp i C$ in $G^{\mathbb{C}}$. The semi-group $\Gamma_{\max }=G \exp i C_{\max }$ is exactly the semi-group of compressions of $T_{\Omega}$, namely

$$
\Gamma_{\max }=\left\{g \in G^{\mathbb{C}} \mid g\left(T_{\Omega}\right) \subset T_{\Omega}\right\}
$$

Theorem 2.2. $\Gamma_{\text {min }} \supset\left\{t_{i v}\right\}_{v \in \bar{\Omega}}$.
Proof. As $t_{e_{r}} \in C_{\min }$, it is easily seen, using the action of $L$ and the convexity of $\bar{\Omega}$, that $t_{v}$ is contained in $C_{\min }$ for any $v \in \bar{\Omega}$. Hence the result follows.

The importance of these cones and semi-groups for highest weight representations has been noticed by Ol'shanskiĭ and in fact if ( $\pi, \mathcal{H}$ ) is any unitary representation, let $\mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ be the space of $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ vectors, and let

$$
C_{\pi}=\left\{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid<i d \pi(X) \xi, \xi>\leq 0, \forall \xi \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}\right\}
$$

$C_{\pi}$ is a cone, which is non trivial if and only if $\pi$ has a highest weight, and then the representation $\pi$ can be extended as a (holomorphic) representation of $\Gamma_{\pi}=G \exp i C_{\pi}$ by contractions.

## 3. Reproducing kernels and unitarity

Let $\left(\mu, V_{\mu}\right)$ be a finite dimensional irreducible unitary representation of the maximal compact subgroup $U$ of $G$. As explained before, it is convenient to consider $\mu$ as a finite dimensional (holomorphic) representation of $L^{\mathbb{C}}$. Moreover it satisfies the relation $\mu\left(l^{*}\right)=\mu(l)^{*}$, where $l^{*}=\bar{l}^{t}$, for $l \in L^{\mathbb{C}}$ (extension of the unitarity property of $\mu$ ). For $g \in G$, and $z \in T_{\Omega}$, set $J_{\mu}(g, z)=\mu(J(g, z))$

Now let $\mathcal{V}_{\mu}$ be the space of holomorphic functions on $T_{\Omega}$ with values in $V_{\mu}$. Define the following action of $G$ on $\mathcal{V}_{\mu}$ :

$$
T_{\mu}(g) f(z)=\left(J_{\mu}\left(g^{-1}, z\right)\right)^{-1} f\left(g^{-1} z\right)
$$

where $f \in \mathcal{V}_{\mu}, z \in T_{\Omega}$ and $g \in G$.

We want to discuss the existence of an invariant inner product on $\mathcal{V}_{\mu}$. There is in fact a natural inner product given by

$$
(f, g)_{\mu}=\int_{T_{\Omega}}\left(\mu\left(P(y)^{-1}\right) f(z) \mid g(z)\right)_{V_{\mu}} d_{*} z
$$

where $z \in T_{\Omega}, y=\Im(z)$, and $d_{*} z$ is the $G$ invariant measure on $T_{\Omega}$. The invariance of the inner product by $N^{+}$is obvious, its invariance by $L$ is easy. It remains to check invariance by the inversion $z \mapsto-z^{-1}$. But this is a consequence of the following formula : $P(\bar{z}) P(\Im(z))^{-1} P(z)=P\left(\Im\left(-z^{-1}\right)\right)^{-1}$ (see [4] p. 163). Now let $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}=\left\{f \in \mathcal{V}_{\mu} \mid(f, f)_{\mu}<+\infty\right\}$. Then if $\mathcal{H}_{\mu} \neq\{0\},\left(T_{\mu}, \mathcal{H}_{\mu}\right)$ defines a unitary representation, and in fact this is the celebrated holomorphic discrete series.

Now let $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ be an irreducible unitary representation of $G$, and assume there exists a continuous non trivial intertwining operator from $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ into $\mathcal{V}_{\mu}$, where the latter space is equipped with the compact-open topology. Then the evaluation map at any point $z \in T_{\Omega}$ is a continuous linear map on $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$, so $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ admits a reproducing kernel. In fact, let $E_{z}: \mathcal{H}_{\mu} \rightarrow V_{\mu}$ be the evaluation map at $z \in T_{\Omega}$ and define

$$
\mathbb{Q}_{\mu}(z, w)=E_{z} E_{w}^{*} .
$$

Then $\mathbb{Q}_{\mu}: T_{\Omega} \times T_{\Omega} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}\left(V_{\mu}\right)$ satisfies $\mathbb{Q}_{\mu}$ is holomorphic in $z$ and antiholomorphic in $w$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\forall q \in \mathbb{N}, \forall\left(w_{j}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq q} \in T_{\Omega}, \forall\left(\xi_{j}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq q} \in V_{\mu}  \tag{3.1iii}\\
\sum_{i} \sum_{j}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mu}\left(w_{j}, w_{i}\right) \xi_{i} \mid \xi_{j}\right)_{V_{\mu}} \geq 0
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{Q}_{\mu}(g . z, g . w)=J_{\mu}(g, z) \mathbb{Q}_{\mu}(z, w) J_{\mu}(g, w)^{*} \tag{3.1iv}
\end{equation*}
$$

A mapping $\mathbb{Q}: T_{\Omega} \times T_{\Omega} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}\left(V_{\mu}\right)$ which satisfies (3.1 i, ii, and iii) is said to be a positive definite (operator-valued) kernel (see [14]). If it moreover satisfies (3.1iv), the kernel $\mathbb{Q}$ is said to be invariant (with respect to $\mu$ ).

Proposition 3.1. Let $\mu$ be a finite dimensional holomorphic irreducible representation of $L^{\mathbb{C}}$, and let $\mathbb{Q}$ be an invariant positive definite kernel (with respect to $\mu)$. Let $\mathcal{L}_{\mu}$ be the span of the functions $z \mapsto \mathbb{Q}(z, w) \xi$, where $w$ is arbitrary in $T_{\Omega}$, and $\xi$ arbitrary in $V_{\mu}$. Introduce the (well defined) Hermitian form on $\mathcal{L}_{\mu}$ given by

$$
\left(\sum_{i} \mathbb{Q}\left(., w_{i}\right) \xi_{i} \mid \sum_{j} \mathbb{Q}\left(., w_{j}^{\prime}\right) \xi_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{i} \sum_{j}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(w_{j}^{\prime}, w_{i}\right) \xi_{i} \mid \xi_{j}^{\prime}\right)_{V_{\mu}}
$$

Let $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ be the usual (separate) completion of $\mathcal{L}_{\mu}$ with respect to this (welldefined) form. Then $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ is invariant under $T_{\mu}$ and the restriction of $T_{\mu}$ to $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ is unitary and irreducible.

For the proof, see [14]. Let us observe moreover, that $\mathcal{L}_{\mu}$ always contains the "highest weight vector", namely $\mathbb{Q}(z, i e) \xi_{\mu}$, where $\xi_{\mu}$ is the highest weight vector in $V_{\mu}$ (cf. [17]). So $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ is a highest weight representation.

However, the kernel $\mathbb{Q}_{\mu}(z, w)$ satisfies another important condition which is related to the remark due to Ol'shanskiĭ we mentioned above.

Proposition 3.2. Let $\mu$ be a finite dimensional holomorphic representation of $L^{\mathbb{C}}$, and assume that $\mathbb{Q}_{\mu}$ is positive definite. Then $\mathbb{Q}_{\mu}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall q \in \mathbb{N}, \forall\left(w_{j}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq q} \in T_{\Omega}, \forall\left(\xi_{j}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq q} \in V_{\mu}, \forall y \in \bar{\Omega} \tag{3.1v}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left(\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \mathbb{Q}_{\mu}\left(w_{j}+i y, w_{i}+i y\right) \xi_{i} \mid \xi_{j}\right)_{V_{\mu}} \leq\left(\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \mathbb{Q}_{\mu}\left(w_{j}, w_{i}\right) \xi_{i} \mid \xi_{j}\right)_{V_{\mu}}
$$

Proof. In fact, the cone $C_{T_{\mu}}=C_{\mu}$ contains $-t_{e_{r}}$ (see the original argument in [10]), hence $C_{\mu} \supset-C_{\min }$ by Theorem 2.2. (cf [16]), and from the holomorphic extension of $T_{\mu}$ to the Olshanskiĭ semigroup $G \exp i C_{\pi}$ by contractions yields $\left\|T_{\mu}\left(t_{-i y}\right) \Phi\right\|^{2} \leq\|\Phi\|^{2}$, where $y \in \bar{\Omega}$, and $\Phi()=.\sum_{i} \mathbb{Q}_{\mu}\left(., w_{i}\right) \xi_{i} \in \mathcal{H}_{\mu}$. But this is exactly the inequality we were looking for, once observed that $T_{\mu}\left(t_{-i y}\right) \mathbb{Q}_{\mu}(., w)=\mathbb{Q}_{\mu}(., w+i y)$.

The conditions (3.1i-iv) completely determine (up to a positive scalar) the possible kernels (cf [4]). In fact by using the action of the translations $\left\{t_{y}\right\}_{y \in V}$, it is easily seen that $\mathbb{Q}$ must be of the form $\mathbb{Q}(z, w)=Q\left(\frac{z-\bar{w}}{2}\right)$, where $Q$ is a holomorphic map from $T_{\Omega}$ into End $\left(V_{\mu}\right)$. Moreover, if one considers the origin $i e \in T_{\Omega}$, then from (3.1iv) we immediately see that $Q(i e)$ must commute with the operators $\mu(J(k, i e))$ for any $k$ in the stabilizer $U$ of ie in $G$. An application of Schur's lemma forces $Q(i e)$ to be a multiple of the identity. The invariance property applied to $P\left(y^{1 / 2}\right)$, where $y \in \Omega$ shows that $Q(i y)=Q\left(P\left(y^{1 / 2}\right) \cdot i e\right)=\mu(P(y))$, up to a positive constant. As $Q$ is holomorphic, the only possibility for $\mathbb{Q}$ is (up to a positive constant)

$$
\mathbb{Q}(z, w)=\mu\left(P\left(\frac{z-\bar{w}}{2 i}\right)\right) .
$$

Conversely, properties (3.1i) and (3.1ii) are immediate. The invariance property can easily be established for the translations and the elements of $L$. For the inversion $z \mapsto-z^{-1}$, one uses the identity

$$
P\left(\bar{w}^{-1}-z^{-1}\right)=P\left(\bar{w}^{-1}\right) P(z-\bar{w}) P\left(z^{-1}\right), \text { for } z, w \in T_{\Omega}
$$

(cf. [7] page 200), and takes images of both sides under $\mu$ to get the desired invariance property.

Henceforth we concentrate our effort towards property (3.1v), which is crucial for discussing unitarity.

If $W$ is a finite-dimensional Hilbert space, denote by $\operatorname{Herm}(W)$ the the space of Hermitian operators on $W$ and by $\operatorname{Herm}^{+}(W)$ the cone of positive semidefinite Hermitian operators on $W$. In what follows, by a measure on $\bar{\Omega}$ with values in $\mathrm{Herm}^{+} W$, we mean, following Bourbaki (see [1]), a linear map $R$ from the space $C_{c}(\bar{\Omega})$ of continuous real valued functions with compact support on $\bar{\Omega}$ into $\operatorname{Herm}(W)$, which is continuous for the usual topology on $C_{c}(\bar{\Omega})$, and such that for any nonnegative function $\varphi$ in $C_{c}(\bar{\Omega}), R(\varphi) \in \operatorname{Herm}^{+}(W)$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $W$ be a finite dimensional Hilbert space and let $q: \Omega \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Herm}^{+}(W)$ be a continuous map with the property (3.1v). Then there exists a unique measure $R$ on $\bar{\Omega}$, with values in $\operatorname{Herm}^{+}(W)$, such that :

$$
q(y)=\int_{\bar{\Omega}} e^{-(y \mid v)} d R(v)
$$

for all $y \in \Omega$.
Proof. First fix $\xi \in W$. Define $q_{\xi}(y)=(q(y) \xi \mid \xi)$. Clearly $q_{\xi}$ is a continuous function on $\Omega$, which satisfies

$$
0 \leq \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \lambda_{i} \bar{\lambda}_{j} q_{\xi}\left(y_{i}+y_{j}+y\right) \leq \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \lambda_{i} \bar{\lambda}_{j} q_{\xi}\left(y_{i}+y_{j}\right),
$$

for all $\left(y_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq n}, y \in \Omega,\left(\lambda_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq n} \in \mathbb{C}$. By Nussbaum's theorem (see [15],[17]), there exists a unique positive measure $R_{\xi}$ on $\bar{\Omega}$, such that

$$
q_{\xi}(y)=\int_{\bar{\Omega}} e^{-(y \mid w)} d R_{\xi}(w) .
$$

Now define for $\xi, \eta \in W$

$$
R_{\xi, \eta}=\frac{1}{4}\left[R_{\xi+\eta}-R_{\xi-\eta}+i R_{\xi+i \eta}-i R_{\xi-i \eta}\right] .
$$

The way it depends on $\xi, \eta$ is clearly of Hermitian nature. So there exists a measure $R$ on $\bar{\Omega}$, mith values in $\operatorname{Herm}(W)$, such that $R_{\xi, \eta}()=.(R(.) \xi \mid \eta)$. As $R_{\xi}=R_{\xi, \xi}, R$ has values in $\operatorname{Herm}^{+}(W)$, and the result follows. The uniqueness is clear from properties of the Laplace transform.

It is now possible to apply this result to the reproducing kernels $\mathbb{Q}_{\mu}$.
Theorem 3.4. Let $\mu$ be a finite dimensional representation of $L$ on a vector space $V_{\mu}$. Then the associated kernel $\mathbb{Q}_{\mu}$ is positive definite if and only if there exists a measure $R_{\mu}$ on $\bar{\Omega}$, with values in $\operatorname{Herm}^{+}\left(V_{\mu}\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
d R_{\mu}(l .)=\mu(l)^{*^{-1}} d R_{\mu}(.) \mu(l)^{-1}, \forall l \in L  \tag{3.4i}\\
\int_{\bar{\Omega}} e^{-\operatorname{tr} v} d R_{\mu}(v)=I d \tag{3.4ii}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. The existence of such a measure, when $\mathbb{Q}_{\mu}$ is positive definite is clear from the preceding results. Conversely, use a change of variable to get from properties (3.4 i) and (3.4 ii) the equality $\mu(P(x))=\int_{\bar{\Omega}} e^{-(x \mid w)} d R_{\mu}(w)$ which gives immediately $\mathbb{Q}_{\mu}(z, w)=\int_{\Omega} e^{-\left(\left.\frac{z \bar{w}}{2 i} \right\rvert\, v\right)} d R_{\mu}(v)$ proving the positivedefiniteness of $\mathbb{Q}_{\mu}$.

It is possible to give a more concrete realization of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ corresponding to the kernel $\mathbb{Q}_{\mu}$ (according to Proposition (3.1)). In fact define $\mathcal{G}_{\mu}$ as the space of all measurable functions $\Phi: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow V_{\mu}$, which satisfy

$$
\|\Phi\|_{\mu}^{2}=\int_{\bar{\Omega}}\left(d R_{\mu}(2 v) \Phi(v) \mid \Phi(v)\right)<+\infty
$$

Then, after identifying two functions which are equal $R_{\mu}$-almost everywhere, $\mathcal{G}_{\mu}$ has a Hilbert space structure for the inner product

$$
(\Phi, \Psi)_{\mathcal{G}_{\mu}}=\int_{\bar{\Omega}}\left(d R_{\mu}(2 v) \Phi(v) \mid \Psi(v)\right)
$$

If $\Phi \in \mathcal{G}_{\mu}$ define, for $z \in T_{\Omega}, \mathcal{F} \Phi: T_{\Omega} \rightarrow V_{\mu}$

$$
\mathcal{F} \Phi(z)=\int_{\bar{\Omega}} e^{i<z \mid v>} d R_{\mu}(2 v) \Phi(v)
$$

Let $\xi \in V_{\mu}$; then

$$
\left|\left(d R_{\mu}(2 v) \Phi(v) \mid \xi\right)_{V_{\mu}}\right| \leq\left(d R_{\mu}(2 v) \Phi(v) \mid \Phi(v)\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d R_{\mu}(2 v) \xi \mid \xi\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and by applying Schwarz inequality, we get

$$
|<\mathcal{F} \Phi(z)| \xi>\left.\right|^{2} \leq\left(\int_{\bar{\Omega}}\left(d R_{\mu}(2 v) \Phi(v) \mid \Phi(v)\right)\left(\int_{\bar{\Omega}} e^{-2<y \mid v>}\left(d R_{\mu}(2 v) \xi \mid \xi\right)\right)\right.
$$

where $z=x+i y$. This shows that the integral in the definition of $\mathcal{F} \Phi$ is (absolutely) convergent and it is then easy to verify that $\mathcal{F} \Phi$ is holomorphic. Now let $\mathcal{F}_{\mu}$ be the space of all (holomorphic) $V_{\mu}$-valued functions of the form $\mathcal{F} \Phi$ with $\Phi \in \mathcal{G}_{\mu}$, and define $\|\mathcal{F} \Phi\|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mu}}=\|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mu}}$. Thanks to the injectivity of the Laplace transform, $\|\mathcal{F} \Phi\|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mu}}=0$ if and only if $\Phi=0 d R_{\mu}$ - a.e., so if and only if $\mathcal{F} \Phi(z)=0$ everywhere. Hence $\mathcal{F}_{\mu}$ is a Hilbert space. Moreover, the evaluation map at any point $z \in T_{\Omega}$ is a continuous linear ( $V_{\mu}$-valued) map. So $\mathcal{F}_{\mu}$ has a reproducing kernel $\mathbb{K}(z, w)$. By definition, there exists a measurable function $k: \bar{\Omega} \times T_{\Omega} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}\left(V_{\mu}\right)$, such that, for every $\xi \in V_{\mu}$

$$
\mathbb{K}(z, w) \xi=(\mathcal{F} k(., w) \xi)(z), \quad z, w \in T_{\Omega}
$$

For every $\Phi \in \mathcal{G}_{\mu}$, and $w \in T_{\Omega}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
((\mathcal{F} \Phi)(w) \mid \xi)_{V_{\mu}} & =(\mathcal{F} \Phi \mid \mathbb{K}(., w) \xi)_{\mathcal{F}_{\mu}} \\
& =(\Phi \mid k(., w) \xi)_{\mathcal{G}_{\mu}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first term is

$$
\int_{\bar{\Omega}} e^{i<w \mid v>}\left(d R_{\mu}(2 v) \Phi(v) \mid \xi\right)_{V_{\mu}}
$$

whereas the last is

$$
\int_{\bar{\Omega}}\left(d R_{\mu}(2 v) \Phi(v) \mid k(v, w) \xi\right)_{V_{\mu}}
$$

We easily conclude that $k(v, w) \xi=e^{-i<\bar{w} \mid v>} \xi$, for $R_{\mu}$-almost every $v$ in $\bar{\Omega}$. Hence

$$
\mathbb{K}(z, w) \xi=\int_{\bar{\Omega}} e^{i<z|v\rangle} e^{-i<\bar{w}|v\rangle} d R_{\mu}(2 v) \xi=\mathbb{Q}_{\mu}(z, w) \xi
$$

Hence the following conclusion :

Theorem 3.5. Let $\mu$ be a representation of $L^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\mathbb{Q}_{\mu}$ is positive definite. Let $\mathcal{F}_{\mu}$ be as above. Then $\mathcal{F}_{\mu}$ is a Hilbert space with reproducing kernel $\mathbb{Q}_{\mu}(z, w)$. The space $\mathcal{F}_{\mu}$ is stable under $T_{\mu}$ and the restriction of $T_{\mu}$ to $\mathcal{F}_{\mu}$ is unitary and irreducible.

## 4. Some necessary conditions for the existence of the measure $R_{\mu}$

Let $\mu$ be a holomorphic finite dimensional representation of $L^{\mathbb{C}}$. Still denote by $\mu$ the restricted highest weight of the representation $\mu$ with respect to the Iwasawa decomposition considered in section 1 and by $\xi_{\mu}$ a non-zero highest weight vector. To be more explicit, one has

$$
\mu\left(\exp 2 \sum_{k=1}^{r} a_{i} L\left(c_{i}\right)\right) \xi_{\mu}=\prod_{k=1}^{r} e^{a_{k} m_{k}} \xi_{\mu},
$$

for all $\left(a_{k}\right)_{1 \leq k \leq r} \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\mu(n) \xi_{\mu}=\xi_{\mu}$, for all $n \in N$. The restricted highest weights are characterized by the conditions

$$
\forall 1 \leq k \leq r, \quad m_{k} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text { and } m_{1} \leq m_{2} \leq \ldots \leq m_{r}
$$

(cf [4], p. 167). For further use, notice the formula $\mu(P(a)) \xi_{\mu}=\prod_{k=1}^{r} a_{k}^{m_{k}} \xi_{\mu}$, where $a=\sum_{k=1}^{r} a_{k} c_{k}, a_{k}>0, \forall k, 1 \leq k \leq r$.

The property (3.4i) clearly shows the fact that the support of $R_{\mu}$ is a union of $L$ orbits. Because of the structure of these orbits, there is an integer $p$, with $0 \leq p \leq r$, such that $\operatorname{Supp}\left(R_{\mu}\right) \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{p}$ and $\operatorname{Supp}\left(R_{\mu}\right) \not \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{p-1}$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\mu=\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ as above. A necessary condition for the existence of a measure $R_{\mu}$ satisfying the conditions (3.4i) and (3.4ii) and such that $\operatorname{Supp}\left(R_{\mu}\right)=\bar{\Omega}$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{r}<-\frac{d(r-1)}{2} . \tag{4.1i}
\end{equation*}
$$

A necessary condition for the existence of a measure $R_{\mu}$ satisfying the conditions (3.4i) and (3.4ii) and such that $\operatorname{Supp}\left(R_{\mu}\right)=\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{p}$, for some $p, 0 \leq p \leq r-1$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{p+1}=m_{p+2}=\ldots=m_{r}=-\frac{d p}{2} . \tag{4.1ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Assume first that $\operatorname{Supp}\left(R_{\mu}\right)=\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{p}$, for some $p, 0 \leq p \leq r-1$. Consider the restriction of $R_{\mu}$ to $\mathcal{O}_{p}$ as a distribution. It must coincide with a $C^{\infty}$ function. In fact, let $X \in \mathfrak{l}=\operatorname{Lie}(L) \subset \mathfrak{g l}(V)$. It induces a vector field $\tilde{X}$ on $\mathcal{O}_{p}$. The invariance property (3.4i) implies the differential relation :

$$
\tilde{X} R_{\mu}=-\mu\left(X^{t}\right) \circ R_{\mu}-R_{\mu} \circ \mu(X) .
$$

Choose vectors $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k} \in \mathfrak{l}$, such that $\tilde{X}_{1}, \tilde{X}_{2}, \ldots, \tilde{X}_{k}$ form a basis of the tangent plane in a neigbourhood of some point of the the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{p}$ (say, $e_{p}$ for example). Compute $\sum_{j=1}^{k} \tilde{X}_{j}^{2} R_{\mu}$ near $e_{p}$ using the last relation. It shows that $R_{\mu}$ is (near $e_{p}$ ) solution of a partial differential system, which is clearly elliptic. Hence, by the classical regularity results, $R_{\mu}$ has locally near $e_{p}$ a $C^{\infty}$ density w.r.t. the relatively invariant measure $\nu_{p}$. From the invariance property (3.4i), this property is true everywhere on $\mathcal{O}_{p}$. In other words, there exists an analytic function $\rho_{\mu}: \mathcal{O}_{p} \rightarrow \operatorname{Herm}^{+}\left(V_{\mu}\right)$, such that $R_{\mu}$ coincides with $\rho_{\mu} d \nu_{p}$ on $\mathcal{O}_{p}$. The invariance condition now reads :

$$
\mu(l)^{*^{-1}} \rho_{\mu}(w) \mu(l)^{-1}=(\operatorname{det} l)^{s_{p}} \rho_{\mu}(l w),
$$

for $l \in L$ and $w \in \mathcal{O}_{p}$.
Let $E_{\mu}=\rho_{\mu}\left(e_{p}\right) . \operatorname{As} \operatorname{Supp}\left(R_{\mu}\right)=\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\mu}, E_{\mu} \neq 0$. For $l \in L_{p}$, the invariance condition (3.4i) implies

$$
E_{\mu} \circ \mu(l)=(\operatorname{det} l)^{-s_{p}} \mu(l)^{*^{-1}} \circ E_{\mu} .
$$

Now let $\xi_{\mu}$ be a non-zero vector in $V_{\mu}$ of highest restricted weight $\mu$, and consider the function $\Phi: L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\Phi(l)=\left(E_{\mu} \mu(l) \xi_{\mu} \mid \mu(l) \xi_{\mu}\right)$. Recall that $L_{p} A_{p} N_{p}$ is dense in $L$, and take $l=l_{p} a_{p} n_{p}$, where $l_{p} \in L_{p}, a_{p} \in$ $A_{p}$ and $n \in N_{p}$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Phi(l)=a_{p}^{2 \mu}\left(E_{\mu} \circ \mu\left(l_{p}\right) \xi_{\mu} \mid \mu\left(l_{p}\right) \xi_{\mu}\right)=a_{p}^{2 \mu}\left(\operatorname{det} l_{p}\right)^{-s_{p}}\left(\mu\left(l_{p}\right)^{*^{-1}} \circ E_{\mu} \xi_{\mu} \mid \mu\left(l_{p}\right) \xi_{\mu}\right) \\
=a_{p}^{2 \mu}\left(\operatorname{det} l_{p}\right)^{-s_{p}}\left(E_{\mu} \xi_{\mu} \mid \xi_{\mu}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

As $E_{\mu} \neq 0, \Phi(l)$ cannot be 0 for all $l \in L$, hence $\left(E_{\mu} \xi_{\mu} \mid \xi_{\mu}\right) \neq 0$. Now, for $\left(a_{k}\right)_{p+1 \leq k \leq r} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, consider the element

$$
a=P\left(c_{1}+c_{2}+\cdots+c_{p}+a_{p+1} c_{p+1}+a_{p+2} c_{p+2}+\cdots+a_{r} c_{r}\right) .
$$

Now $\mu(a) \xi_{\mu}=a_{p+1}^{m_{p+1}} a_{p+2}^{m_{p+2}} \ldots a_{r}^{m_{r}} \quad \xi_{\mu}$, whereas $\operatorname{det}(a)=\left(a_{p+1} a_{p+2} \ldots a_{r}\right)^{\frac{2 n}{r}}$. So $\Phi(a)=a^{2 \mu}\left(E_{\mu} \xi_{\mu} \mid \xi_{\mu}\right)=(\operatorname{det} a)^{-s_{p}}\left(E_{\mu} \xi_{\mu} \mid \xi_{\mu}\right)$. Hence the relation

$$
m_{p+1}=m_{p+2}=\ldots=m_{r}=-\frac{d p}{2} .
$$

Let now consider the case where $\operatorname{Supp}\left(R_{\mu}\right)=\bar{\Omega}$. The first part of the preceding argument is still valid. In particular, the restriction of $R_{\mu}$ to $\Omega$ has an analytic density, say $\rho_{\mu}(x)$ with respect to the invariant measure $d^{*} x$. Let $E_{\mu}=\rho_{\mu}(e)$. It is still true that $\left(E_{\mu} \xi_{\mu} \mid \xi_{\mu}\right) \neq 0$, and the invariance condition now implies :

$$
\rho(t(u) e)=\mu(t(u))^{*^{-1}} \rho_{\mu}(e) \mu(t(u))^{-1}
$$

for $u \in V^{+}$. Now the first condition (3.4ii) implies in particular

$$
\int_{\Omega} e^{-t r v}\left(\rho_{\mu}(v) \xi_{\mu} \mid \xi_{\mu}\right) d^{*} v<\infty
$$

Use the parametrization described in section 2 (cf [7] p. 123). As $\mu(t(u))^{-1} \xi_{\mu}=$ $\prod_{j=1}^{r} u_{j}^{-m_{j}} \xi_{\mu}$, the integral converges if (and only if)

$$
\int_{o}^{+\infty} \cdots \int_{o}^{+\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{r} u_{j}^{-2 m_{j}} u_{j}^{-d(j-1)-1} e^{-u_{j}^{2}} d u_{j}<\infty
$$

But this happens if and only if $m_{r}<-\frac{d(r-1)}{2}$.
To finish the proof, observe that the conditions already obtained are mutually incompatible. So that, if $\operatorname{Supp}\left(R_{\mu}\right)=\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{p}$ and if $\rho_{\mu}$ is its density on $\mathcal{O}_{p}$, then the difference $d R_{\mu}-\rho_{\mu}(). d \nu_{p}$ has its support contained in $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{p-1}$ and still satisfies the condition (3.4ii). If it were non zero on $\mathcal{O}_{p-1}$, the first part of the proof would imply $m_{r}=-\frac{(p-1) d}{2}$, whereas the condition $m_{r}=-\frac{p d}{2}$ (or $m_{r}<-\frac{d(r-1)}{2}$ in case $p=r$ ) has been shown to be necessary. By induction we eventually get $d R_{\mu}-\rho_{\mu}(). d \nu_{p}=0$, completing the proof of theorem (4.1).

## 5. An example

It seems in general quite hard to find explicit expressions for the measure $R_{\mu}$. These measures are known when $\mu$ has dimension 1 (see [17]). Here we want to discuss a vector-valued case, where however, computations are easy because of the fact that the representation $\mu$ stays irreducible when restricted to the maximal compact subgroup $K$ of $L$ (see also [9]).

Let $H=H_{r}$ be the real vector space of $r \times r$ Hermitian matrices, and define the Jordan product to be $x . y=\frac{1}{2}(x y+y x)$, which turns $H$ into a Euclidean Jordan algebra for the standard inner product $\operatorname{tr} x y$. The cone $\Omega$ is the cone of positive-definite matrices, the group $L$ may be identified with $\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \operatorname{SL}(r, \mathbb{C})$, where $\operatorname{SL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ acts by $l . x=l x l^{*}(l \in \operatorname{SL}(r, \mathbb{C}), x \in H)$, its maximal compact subgroup $K$ is $\mathrm{SU}(r)$ and for $x, y \in H, P(x) y=x y x$. As for a Jordan frame, the natural choice is

$$
c_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & & & & \\
& \ddots & & & \\
& & 1 & & \\
& & & \ddots & \\
& & & & 0
\end{array}\right), 1 \leq i \leq r
$$

where 1 stands in the $i$ th row and column. The corresponding Cartan subspace is

$$
\mathfrak{a}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & a_{2} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{r}
\end{array}\right) \quad, \quad a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{r} \in \mathbb{R}\right\}
$$

The dimension of $H$ is $n=r^{2}$, and $d=2$.

Let $\mu$ be a (finite-dimensional) representation of $L$ of the form $l \mapsto$ $\operatorname{det}(l)^{m} \nu(l)$, where $m$ is an integer and $\nu$ is a holomorphic representation of $\operatorname{SL}(r, \mathbb{C})$, (for short we say $\mu$ is holomorphic) and still denote by $\mu$ its dominant weight $\mu=\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$, where for $1 \leq i \leq r, m_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $m_{1} \leq m_{2} \leq \ldots \leq m_{r}$. Notice that the weights of the representation are complex linear forms on $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}}$, and so are determined by their restrictions to $\mathfrak{a}$.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\mu$ as above. The kernel $\mathbb{Q}_{\mu}$ is of positive definite type if and only if either :

$$
\begin{gather*}
m_{r}<-(r-1)  \tag{5.i}\\
m_{p+1}=m_{p+2}=\ldots=m_{r}=-p .
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. In the first case, the corresponding measure $R_{\mu}$ is supported in $\bar{\Omega}$, whereas it is supported in $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{p}$ in the second case. These measures are made explicit in due course of the proof.

Sticking to notations used in section 4, first consider the functional equation for the regular orbit $\Omega$. Observe that $E_{\mu}$ must commute with $\mu(l)$, when $l \in \mathrm{SU}(r)$. But by assumption $\mu$ is a holomorphic representation and so is still irreducible when restricted to $\mathrm{SU}(r)$. By Schur's lemma this implies the fact that $E_{\mu}$ must be a multiple of the identity. But now this forces the equality $\rho_{\mu}(x)=\mu(P(x))^{-1}$, for all $x \in \Omega$, up to a positive scalar. As the positivity condition is clearly satisfied, it remains to check the integrability condition. To this end, define

$$
\mathcal{W}_{\mu}=\left\{\xi \in \mathcal{V}_{\mu} \mid \int_{\Omega} e^{-\operatorname{tr} v}\left(\rho_{\mu}(v) \xi, \xi\right) d^{*} v<+\infty\right\}
$$

Clearly by Schwarz inquality, $\mathcal{W}_{\mu}$ is a vector subspace, and it is invariant under $K$. As the restriction of $\mu$ to $K$ is irreducible, $\mathcal{W}_{\mu}$ is 0 or $\mathcal{V}_{\mu}$, but $\mathcal{W}_{\mu}=\{0\}$ would imply $E_{\mu}=0$. So $\mathcal{W}_{\mu}=\mathcal{V}_{\mu}$. So it suffices to check the integrability condition for, say, a highest weight vector. As the integrability condition for a highest weight vector was already tested in the general case, this finishes this case.

Now assume $\operatorname{Supp}\left(R_{\mu}\right)=\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{p}$, for some $p, 0 \leq p \leq r-1$. This forces $m_{p+1}=m_{p+2}=\ldots=m_{r}=-p$. As before, let $\rho_{\mu}$ be the density with respect to the relatively invariant measure $\nu_{p}$, and let $E_{\mu}=\rho_{\mu}\left(e_{p}\right)$. Let

$$
l=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e^{i \theta_{1}} & & \\
& \ddots & \\
& & e^{i \theta_{r}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\theta_{1}+\ldots+\theta_{r} \equiv 0 \bmod 2 \pi$. Observe that $l . e_{p}=e_{p}, l^{*}=l^{-1}$ and $|\operatorname{det}(l)|=1$. The condition (3.4i) clearly implies that $E_{\mu}$ commutes with all matrices $\mu(l)$, when $l$ is diagonal in $\mathrm{SU}(r)$. So $E_{\mu}$ preserves the weight spaces of
$V_{\mu}$. Now let $\xi_{\lambda}$ be a weight vector corresponding to the weight $\lambda=\left(l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{r}\right)$. Notice from the preceding remark that $E_{\mu} \xi_{\lambda}$ is also of weight $\lambda$. Let

$$
l=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & & & & & \\
& \ddots & & & & \\
& & 1 & & & \\
& & & a_{p+1} & & \\
& & & & \ddots & \\
& & & & & a_{r}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $a_{p+1}, a_{p+2}, \ldots, a_{r} \in \mathbb{C}^{*}, a_{p+1} a_{p+2} \ldots a_{r} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $l \in L_{p}$,

$$
E_{\mu} \xi_{\lambda}=\left|a_{p+1} a_{p+2} \ldots a_{r}\right|^{-2 p}\left|a_{p+1}\right|^{-2 l_{p+1}}\left|a_{p+2}\right|^{-2 l_{p+2}} \ldots\left|a_{r}\right|^{-2 l_{r}} E_{\mu} \xi_{\lambda}
$$

Hence, if $E_{\mu} \xi_{\lambda} \neq 0, l_{p+1}=l_{p+2}+\ldots=l_{r}=-p$. Let $\mathcal{W}$ be the sum of all weight spaces with a weight satisfying this condition. $\mathcal{W}$ coincides with the submodule of $\mathcal{V}_{\mu}$ generated by the highest weight vector $\xi_{\lambda}$ under the action of the subgroup

$$
H_{p}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
h & 0 \\
0 & \mathbf{1}_{q}
\end{array}\right), h \in \mathrm{SL}(p, \mathbb{C}) \text { and } q=r-p\right\} .
$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{W}$ as $H_{p}$ module is isomorphic with the highest weight module of $\mathrm{SL}(p, \mathbb{C})$ with highest weight $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{p}\right)$ and in particular is irreducible. Since $\mu$ is holomorphic, $\mathcal{W}$ is also irreducible under the action of the maximal compact subgroup $K_{p}$ of $H_{p}$ (isomorphic to p)). But $E_{\mu}$ commutes with $\mu(l)$ when $l$ belongs to $K_{p}$, so is the identity (up to a scalar) on $\mathcal{W}$. In other terms, $E_{\mu}$ is (up to a positive scalar) the orthogonal projection on $\mathcal{W}$.

Consider now the representation $l \mapsto \operatorname{det}(l)^{-p} \mu\left(l^{t}\right)^{-1}$. Its lowest weight is $\left(-p-m_{1},-p-m_{2}, \ldots,-p-m_{p}, 0,0, \ldots, 0\right)$, so this representation can be extended polynomially to the full algebra $M_{r}(\mathbb{C})$. By checking on each weight vector, one verifies $\tilde{\mu}\left(e_{p}\right)=E_{\mu}$. By a simple computation using the condition (3.3i), this implies that $\rho_{\mu}(y)=\tilde{\mu}(y)$, for all $y \in \mathcal{O}_{p}$. For the integrability condition, one has (with obvious notations)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\mathcal{O}_{p}} e^{-\operatorname{tr} w}\left(\tilde{\mu}(w) \xi_{\mu} \mid \xi_{\mu}\right) d \nu_{p}(w) \\
=\int_{0}^{+\infty} \ldots \int_{0}^{+\infty} \ldots \int_{\mathbb{C}} \ldots \int_{\mathbb{C}^{q \times p}} e^{-\left(a_{1}^{2}+\ldots+a_{p}^{2}\right)} e^{-\|u\|^{2}} e^{-\|v\|^{2}} \ldots \\
\ldots a_{1}^{2\left(-m_{1}-p\right)} \ldots a_{p}^{2\left(-m_{p}-p\right)} d a_{1} \ldots d a_{p} \ldots d u_{i j} \ldots d \bar{u}_{i j} \ldots d v d \bar{v},
\end{gathered}
$$

and the last integral converges, as $m_{1} \leq m_{2} \leq \ldots \leq m_{p} \leq-p$.
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