A Symmetric Version of Kontsevich Graph Complex and Leibniz Homology

Emily Burgunder

Communicated by A. Fialowski

Abstract. Kontsevich has proven that the Lie homology of the Lie algebra of symplectic vector fields can be computed in terms of the homology of a graph complex. We prove that the Leibniz homology of this Lie algebra can be computed in terms of the homology of a variant of the graph complex endowed with an action of the symmetric groups. The resulting isomorphism is shown to be a Zinbiel-associative bialgebra isomorphism. Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 16E40, 16W22, 05C90. Key Words and Phrases: Kontsevich graph complex, Leibniz homology, graph

homology, Zinbiel-associative bialgebras, co-invariant theory.

In his papers [8] and [9], Kontsevich proved that the homology of the Lie algebra sp(Com) of symplectic vector fields on a formal manifold can be computed through graph homology: there exists a canonical co-commutative commutative bialgebra isomorphism

 $H_*(sp(Com)) \cong \Lambda(H_*(connected graph complex))$.

In the literature, we can find another theorem of similar nature due to Loday, Quillen and Tsygan cf. [13, 20]. It states that the homology of the Lie algebra of matrices on an associative algebra can be computed as the exterior power of cyclic homology: there exists a canonical co-commutative commutative bialgebra isomorphism

$$H_*(\mathrm{gl}(A)) \cong \Lambda(HC_{*-1}(A))$$
.

These two theorems are closely linked since the cyclic homology of an algebra can be seen as the graph homology of polygons labelled by elements of the algebra.

Another similar theorem involving Leibniz homology HL and Hochschild homology HH has been proven by Cuvier and Loday (cf. [3, 10]): there exists a vector space isomorphism

 $HL_*(gl(A)) \cong T(HH_{*-1}(A)) .$

ISSN 0949–5932 / \$2.50 (c) Heldermann Verlag

The Leibniz homology is the homology of the chain complex built over the tensor power $T(\mathfrak{g})$, whereas the chain complex considered for the Lie homology is the exterior power $\Lambda(\mathfrak{g})$ (quotient of $T(\mathfrak{g})$ by the symmetric group action).

The aim of this paper is to compute the Leibniz homology of the Lie algebra sp(Com). We construct a variant of Kontsevich graph complex, called the symmetric graph complex. In dimension n it is equipped with an action of the symmetric group Σ_n . Its quotient by this action gives Kontsevich graph complex. We show that there exists an isomorphism :

$$HL_*(sp(\text{Com})) \cong T(H_*(\text{connected symmetric graph complex}))$$
. (1)

On the left-hand side the direct sum of matrices induces an associative algebra structure, and the diagonal induces a Zinbiel coalgebra structure. They make $HL_*(sp(\text{Com}))$ into a Zinbiel-associative bialgebra. On the right-hand side there is an obvious free-cofree Zinbiel-associative bialgebra structure. Our isomorphism is shown to be an isomorphism of Zinbiel-associative bialgebras.

Under quotienting by the action of the symmetric groups our proof gives, as an immediate corollary, a proof of Kontsevich theorem. Moreover, the two isomorphisms are related by a commutative diagram :

$$\begin{array}{lll} HL_*(sp(\operatorname{Com})) &\cong & T(H_*(\operatorname{connected symmetric graph complex})) \\ & & & \downarrow^{()_{\Sigma_n}} \\ H_*(sp(\operatorname{Com})) &\cong & \Lambda(H_*(\operatorname{connected graph complex})) \ . \end{array}$$

Kontsevich theorem leads to many types of generalisations. Hamilton and Lazarev proved it in the orthosymplectic context, cf. [6],[7]. Mahajan extended it for reversible operads in [14] and Conant and Vogtmann extended Konstevich proof to any cyclic operad, cf. [2]. We intend to show in a sequel to this paper, that such generalisations are possible in the Leibniz homology context too.

The paper is constructed as follows : the first section sets the notations for Lie and Leibniz homology. The second section introduces the notion of symmetric graphs used in section three to state the main theorem. The next sections are devoted to the proof of this theorem. Section five is the first step of the proof known as the Koszul trick, section six is devoted to recalls on co-invariant theory for the symplectic algebra, that are then applied to the Leibniz complex of sp(Com). The next section introduces chord diagrams with a little digression to describe the chain complex of chord diagrams. Section eight mimicks Kontsevich's idea to produce an isomorphism between the classes of chord diagrams under a symmetric action and graphs. But here the graphs that arise are labelled. In section ten, we reduce the computation of the homology of connected graphs to the homology of connected graphs with no bivalent vertices. Then, in the last section we show that the isomorphism of the main theorem is an isomorphism of Zinbiel-associative bialgebras. The appendix of this paper is devoted to a rigidity theorem, analogous to Hopf-Borel, for Zinbiel-associative bialgebras and its dual version.

In the sequel $\mathbb K$ denotes a field of characteristic zero.

Burgunder

1. Leibniz and Lie homology

In order to set the notations, we recall the basic notions of Leibniz and Lie chain complexes, adjoint representation and co-invariants.

Definition 1.1. A Leibniz algebra L is a vector space over a field \mathbb{K} endowed with a bilinear map $L \times L \to L$, denoted $(x, y) \mapsto [x, y]$ and called the *bracket* of x and y, verifying the Leibniz identity :

$$[[x, y], z] = [[x, z], y] + [x, [y, z]]$$
 for all $x, y, z \in L$.

This identity means that the operation [-, z] is a derivation with respect to the bracket.

Definition 1.2. A vector space \mathfrak{g} over a field \mathbb{K} , endowed with an operation $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$, denoted $(x, y) \mapsto [x, y]$, is called a *Lie algebra* over \mathbb{K} if \mathfrak{g} is a Leibniz algebra and if the bracket verifies moreover that

$$[x, x] = 0$$
 for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$.

It is clear that the axiom [x, x] = 0 for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ implies the anticommutativity axiom, i.e. [x, y] = -[y, x] for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, by applying the bilinearity hypothesis to the element [x + y, x + y]. Moreover the identity satisfied by the Leibniz algebra implies the *Jacobi identity*:

$$[[x, y], z] + [[z, x], y] + [[y, z], x] = 0$$
 for all $x, y, z \in L$,

under the assumption of anti-commutativity.

Let $\mathfrak g$ be a Lie algebra over $\mathbb K.$ The Chevalley-Eilenberg chain complex is defined as follows:

$$\cdots \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \Lambda^{n} \mathfrak{g} \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \Lambda^{n-1} \mathfrak{g} \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \cdots \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \Lambda^{1} \mathfrak{g} \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{K} ,$$

where $\Lambda^n \mathfrak{g}$ is the *n*th exterior power of \mathfrak{g} over \mathbb{K} , and the map *d* is given by the classical formula :

$$d(g_1 \wedge \dots \wedge g_n) := \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} (-1)^{i+j+1} [g_i, g_j] \wedge g_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{g_i} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{g_j} \wedge \dots \wedge g_n ,$$

where \widehat{g}_i means that g_i has been deleted. The homology of the Chevalley-Eilenberg chain complex is denoted $H_*(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{K})$ or $H_*(\mathfrak{g})$ if no confusion occurs with this notation.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a Leibniz algebra over \mathbb{K} . The Leibniz chain complex $CL_*(\mathfrak{g})$ has been defined by J.-L. Loday in [11] as a lifting of the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex by:

 $\cdots \xrightarrow{d} \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{d} \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n-1} \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{d} \mathbb{K}$

where $\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n}$ is the *n*th tensor power of \mathfrak{g} over \mathbb{K} , and where the map *d* is given by the following formula :

$$d(g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n) := \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} (-1)^j g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_{i-1} \otimes [g_i, g_j] \otimes g_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \widehat{g_j} \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n$$

In this paper we denote the homology of the Leibniz chain complex by $HL_*(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{K})$ or $HL_*(\mathfrak{g})$ if it doesn't lead to any confusion.

2. Symmetric graph homology

We introduce the notion of symmetric graph complex which gives rise to symmetric graph homology. The graphs that we consider are labelled by integers and endowed with an action of the symmetric groups on the labellings. We show moreover that the chain complex and the homology of graphs admit a structure of generalised bialgebra, namely a Zinbiel-associative bialgebra structure.

Definition 2.1. Let *m* be an integer. An oriented symmetric graph *G* is a triplet made of the ordered set $V(G) := \{1, \ldots, m\}$ of vertices, a set of edges E(G) and a map $\alpha_G : E(G) \to V(G) \times V(G)$. The symmetric graph *G* is said to be non-oriented if $\alpha_G : E(G) \to S^2(V(G)) := (V(G) \times V(G))/_{\Sigma_2}$.

To ease the writing the set V(G) is referred to the set of *vertices* of G, and the set E(G) is referred to the set of *edges* of G. A vertex $v \in V(G)$ has *valency* n if the cardinality of the set $\{e \in E(G) | \exists a \in V(G) : \alpha_G(e) = (a, v) \text{ or } (v, a)\}$ is n. The elements of $\alpha_G(e)$ are called the *incident half-edges* of e. An edge whose composite half-edges are incident to the same vertex is called a loop.

A labelled graph is a graph G together with a map from the set of vertices V(G) to the set of labellings $\{p_1, q_1, \ldots\}$.

The symmetric group Σ_m acts on the graph $G = (\{1, \ldots, m\}, \{e_i\}, \alpha_G)$ as follows : let $\alpha_G(e_i) = (i_1, i_2)$

$$\sigma \cdot (V(G), E(G), \alpha_G) := sgn(\sigma)(V(G), E(G), \sigma \cdot \alpha_G) ,$$

where $(\sigma \cdot \alpha_G)(e_i) = (\sigma(i_1), \sigma(i_2))$, and $sgn(\sigma)$ is the sign of the permutation.

Definition 2.2. Two graphs G_1 and G_2 are said to be isomorphic if :

- 1. $V(G_1) = V(G_2)$,
- 2. $|E(G_1)| = |E(G_2)|,$
- 3. Im α_{G_1} = Im α_{G_2} .

In the sequel, we will consider isomorphic classes of non-oriented symmetric graphs without loops, and isomorphic classes of oriented symmetric graphs without loops (unless otherwise stated). We will refer to them as graphs and oriented graphs respectively.

Notation 2.1. The set of all finite graphs will be denoted \mathcal{G} , and the set of finite oriented graphs is denoted $\vec{\mathcal{G}}$. The set of finite graphs with m vertices (i.e. every graph G such that |V(G)| = m) is denoted \mathcal{G}_m . The set of graphs such that the valence of their vertices is respectively k_1, \ldots, k_m is denoted $\mathcal{G}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m}$.

Example 2.3. The connected graph $G = (V(G), E(G), \alpha_G)$ with $V(G) = \{1, 2, 3\}, E(G) = \{e_1, \dots, e_4\}$ and $\alpha_G(e_1) = \{1, 3\}, \alpha_G(e_2) = \{1, 2\}, \alpha_G(e_3) = \{1, 2\}, \alpha_G(e_4) = \{2, 3\}$ can be represented geometrically as in figure 1.



Figure 1: Geometric interpretation of the graph G

Definition 2.4. Let G_1 and G_2 be two graphs with $V(G_i) = \{1, \ldots, n_i\}, E(G_i) = \{e_j\}_{1 \le j \le r_i}$. The ordered disjoint union of two graphs $G_1 \cdot G_2$ is defined as follows:

$$V(G_1 \cdot G_2) := \{1, \dots, n_1 + n_2\},$$

$$E(G_1 \cdot G_2) := \{e'_j\}_{1 \le j \le r_1 + r_2},$$

$$\alpha_{G_1 \cdot G_2}(e'_j) := \begin{cases} \alpha_{G_1}(e_j) & \text{if } j \le r_1, \\ \alpha_{G_2}(e_{j-r_1}) & \text{if } j \ge r_1 + 1 \end{cases}$$

This operation endows the vector space $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}]$ with a structure of associative (non-commutative) algebra.

A graph G is connected if for any graph $U, V \in \mathcal{G}, U \cdot V \neq G$. We denote \mathcal{G}_c the set of connected graphs. We will denote \mathcal{G}_c^3 the set of connected graphs such that every vertex is of valence at least 3.

It is to be noted that in our definition there is a notion of order on the connected components of a graph. Indeed let G_1 and G_2 be two components of a graph G, then G_1 is greater than G_2 if the minimum of the labels of the vertices in G_1 is greater than the minimum of the labels of the vertices in G_2 . This gives rise to a vector space isomorphism between the tensor module $T(\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}_c])$ and $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}]$.

The definition of graphs of definition 2.1 encodes non-necessarily connected graphs, as illustrated in the following example.

Example 2.5. Let $H = (V(H), E(H), \alpha_H)$ be the graph defined as $V(H) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, E(H) = \{e_1, \ldots, e_4\}$ and $\alpha_H(e_1) = \{1, 2\}, \alpha_H(e_2) = \{1, 2\}, \alpha_H(e_3) = \{3, 4\}, \alpha_H(e_4) = \{4, 3\}$. This graph can be represented geometrically as in figure 2, taking into account the order of the components.

This graph is the disjoint union of $H_1 \cdot H_1$, where H_1 is the graph defined by $V(H_1) := \{1, 2\}, E(H_1) = \{e_1, e_2\}$ and α_{H_1} satisfies :

$$\alpha_{H_1}(e_1) = \{1, 2\} \quad \alpha_{H_1}(e_2) = \{1, 2\}$$

Since H is a class of isomorphic symmetric graphs, we can write H as follows $V(H) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $\operatorname{Im} \alpha_H = \{\{1, 2\}, \{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{4, 3\}\}$ for sake of simplicity. Therefore with this notation H_1 is defined as $(\{1, 2\}, \{\{1, 2\}, \{1, 2\}\})$.



Figure 2: Geometric interpretation of the graph H

Proposition 2.6. The associative algebra $(\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}], \cdot)$ is isomorphic to the free associative algebra $T(\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}_c])$.

Proof. Any non-connected graph can be seen as the ordered disjoint union of some uniquely determined non-empty connected graphs. The ordered disjoint union is an associative non-commutative operation on graphs. This gives rise to an isomorphism between the tensor algebra over the connected graphs and the algebra of graphs.

In order to define the differential in the graph complex, we first describe how to contract an edge in a given graph.

Definition 2.7. Let $G = (\{1, \ldots, m\}, \{e_j\}_{1 \le j \le n}, \alpha_G)$ be a graph and let e = (i, j) be one of its edges, which we assume not being a loop. We define a new graph G/e as follows :

- 1. the set of edges of G/e are the edges of G minus e,
- 2. the set of vertices of G/e is $\{1, \ldots, m-1\}$,
- 3. the map $\alpha_{G/e}: E(G/e) \to V(G/e) \times V(G/e)$ is defined as follows :

$$\alpha_{G/e}(e') = (\mathrm{std} \otimes \mathrm{std}) \circ \alpha_G(e')$$

where,

$$\operatorname{std}(k) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } k < \min(i, j) ,\\ k - 1 & \text{if } i < k \text{ and } k \neq \max(i, j) \\ \min(i, j) & \text{if } k = \max(i, j) . \end{cases}$$

The map std is known as the standardisation map.

This definition do not depend on the representative G in the class of isomorphic graphs.

Example 2.8. We consider the graph G, defined by $V(G) = \{1, \ldots, 4\}$ and Im $\alpha_G = \{\{1, 4\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 4\}, \{2, 3\}, \{3, 4\}\}$, and the edge $e = \{1, 4\}$. The resulting graph G/e is defined as $V(G) = \{1, 2, 3\}$ with Im $\alpha_G = \{\{1, 3\}, \{2, 1\}, \{2, 3\}, \{3, 1\}\}$.

Proposition 2.9. Let $G \in \vec{\mathcal{G}}$ be a graph obtained by $G' \in \vec{\mathcal{G}}$ by a change of orientation on a edge e = [i, j] into [j, i]. We set $G \sim -G'$.



Figure 3: Contracting vertex e in graph G.

The algebra spanned by the oriented graphs quotiented by the above equivalence relation is exactly the algebra spanned by the graphs without loops.

Proof. It is clear that the loops are null. Moreover the orientation is mod out by the sign relation on orientation.

To ease the notation we will define a sign $\epsilon(e)$ for any oriented edge e = [i, j] which will depend on the orientation of the edge and the number associated to the vertex of each incident half-edge.

Definition 2.10. Let $G \in \vec{\mathcal{G}}$ be an oriented graph, and let e = [i, j] be an oriented edge. We define the sign $\epsilon(e) \in \{-1, 1\}$ as follows :

$$\epsilon(e) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i > j \\ 1 & \text{if } i < j \end{cases}.$$

We are now able to describe the complex of oriented symmetric graphs $C(\mathcal{G}, \delta)$.

Definition 2.11. The *n*th chain module $C_n(\vec{\mathcal{G}})$ is the vector space of graphs with *n* vertices. The differential on the chain module is defined as follows : let $\vec{\mathcal{G}}$ be an oriented graph,

$$\delta(\vec{G}) := \sum_{e} (-1)^{\max(i,j)} \epsilon(e) \vec{G/e} ,$$

where the sum runs over all edges e = [i, j].

Proposition 2.12. The chain complex $C_*(\vec{\mathcal{G}})$ passes through the quotient by the equivalence relation defined in proposition 2.9, defining the chain complex associated to non-oriented graphs.

Proof. The differential does not depend on the oriented representative of the graph G. Indeed, let \vec{G} be an oriented representative of the graph G. Let v be a vertex in \vec{G} with orientation [i, j]. Consider the graph $\vec{G'}$ which is the same graph as \vec{G} with the orientation of v being [j, i]. Therefore, direct computation

gives :

$$\begin{split} \delta(\vec{G}) - \delta(\vec{G'}) &= (-1)^{\max(i,j)} (\epsilon(v) [\vec{G/v}] - \epsilon(v') [\vec{G'/v'}]) \\ &= (-1)^{\max(i,j)} \epsilon(v) (1-1) [\vec{G/v}] \\ &= 0 \; . \end{split}$$

This end the proof as for more than one change of orientation, we will have just a sum of the above equality.

Example 2.13. We illustrate the differential of a graph by performing the calculation on the connected graph defined in 2.3. It gives the following sum $-2(\{1,2\},\{\{1,2\},\{1,2\},\{1,2\}\})$. The sum can be reinterpreted geometrically as in figure 4 where we have just contracted each edge but we have also to take into



Figure 4: Sum of contracted edges of G

account that the loops are null. Figure 5 gives the geometrical result.



Figure 5: The differential of the graph G

Our aim is to prove that the graph complex admits a structure of Zinbielassociative bialgebra. The definition and the main properties of Zinbiel-associative bialgebras can be found in the appendix. It includes a structure theorem stating that a connected Zinbiel-associative bialgebra can be reconstructed from its primitives. This theorem is analogous to the Milnor-Moore theorem for co-commutative commutative bialgebras.

First, we define the Zinbiel coalgebra structure on the graph complex as the canonical Zinbiel coproduct on the tensor module $T(\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}_c])$.

Definition 2.14. Let $\Delta_{\prec} : C(\mathcal{G}, \delta) \to C(\mathcal{G}, \delta) \otimes C(\mathcal{G}, \delta)$ be defined as the co-half shuffle on the ordered disjoint union of graphs. That is to say, let $G = G_1 \dots G_n$ be the ordered disjoint union of the connected graphs G_i , for $1 \leq i \leq n$, the co-half shuffle is defined as :

$$\Delta_{\prec}(G) := G_1 \sqcup \sqcup^{\star} (G_2 \ldots G_n) = G_1 \sum_{p+q=n-1} \sum_{\underline{i} \in Sh_{p,q}} G_{i_2} \ldots G_{i_p} \otimes G_{i_{p+1}} \ldots G_{i_n}$$

where the sum is extended over all (p,q)-shuffles \underline{i} (i.e. in the multi-indices $\underline{i} = (i_2, \ldots, i_n)$ the integers $2, \ldots, p$ are ordered and so are $p + 1, \ldots, n$).

BURGUNDER

Example 2.15. Let G be the graph defined by $V(G) = \{1, \ldots, 8\}$ and Im $\alpha_G = \{\{7, 8\}\{1, 3\}, \{4, 5\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{4, 5\}\{2, 3\}, \{6, 8\}, \{7, 8\}, \{6, 7\}, \{6, 8\}\}$. It is clear that $G = G_1 \cdot G_2 \cdot G_3$. Indeed, $G_1 = (\{1, 2, 3\}, \{\{1, 3\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}\}), G_2 = (\{1, 2\}, \{\{1, 2\}, \{1, 2\}\})$ and $G_3 = (\{1, 2, 3\}, \{\{1, 3\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 3\}\})$. Applying the coproduct to this graph gives the following :

 $G_1 \cdot G_2 \cdot G_3 \otimes 1 + G_1 \otimes G_2 \cdot G_3 + G_1 \cdot G_2 \otimes G_3 + G_1 \cdot G_3 \otimes G_2 .$

This can be geometrically interpreted as in figure 6.



Figure 6: Coproduct of the graph G

The Zinbiel coalgebra can also be endowed with an associative algebra structure. This endows the chain complex with a Zinbiel-associative bialgebra, as shown in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.16. Let $\cdot : C_p(\mathcal{G}) \otimes C_q(\mathcal{G}) \to C_{p+q}(\mathcal{G})$ be the disjoint ordered union of graphs. This operation endows the chain complex with an associative algebra structure. Together with the coalgebra structure, defined in definition 2.14, it endows the chain complex of symmetric graphs with a structure of Zinbielassociative bialgebra.

Proof. The operation \cdot is clearly associative (and not commutative). The co-half shuffle is a Zinbiel coproduct cf. appendix 10. It suffices to verify the compatibility relation. This can be done by dualising the arguments of [1], see appendix 11.

Proposition 2.17. The primitive part of $C(\mathcal{G}, \delta, \Delta_{\prec})$ is the subvector space spanned by connected graphs.

Proof. It's known that the primitive part of T(V) for the co-half shuffle is V (cf. appendix 11 for a proof). This remark ends the proof.

Corollary 2.18. The homology $H(\mathcal{G}, \cdot, \delta, \Delta_{\prec})$ of the graph complex is isomorphic to the graded Zinb-As bialgebra $T(H(\mathcal{G}_c))$.

Proof. It suffices to apply corollary 12.2 of appendix 12 and proposition 2.17.

3. A Kontsevich analogue for the Leibniz homology

In this section, we introduce the Lie algebra sp(Com) and state the main theorem: The Leibniz homology of the Lie algebra sp(Com) can be computed thanks to the homology of the symmetric graph complex defined in the previous section.

Definition 3.1. Let m be a positive integer. Let V_m be the K-vector space generated by 2m indeterminates $\{p_1, \ldots, p_m, q_1, \ldots, q_m\}$ endowed with the standard symplectic form $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^m dp_i \wedge dq_i$. We define the Lie algebra $sp_m(\text{Com})$ as follows : the underlying vector space is $S^{\geq 2}(V_m) := \bigoplus_{k\geq 2} (V_m^{\otimes k})_{S_k}$, the module of commutative polynomials in indeterminates $p_1, \ldots, p_m, q_1, \ldots, q_m$. It is endowed with the canonical Poisson bracket :

$$\{F,G\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial q_i} - \frac{\partial G}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_i} \,,$$

where F and G are polynomials in indeterminates $p_1, \ldots, p_m, q_1, \ldots, q_m$. The inductive limit of these Lie algebras is denoted $sp(\text{Com}) := \bigcup_{m \ge 1} sp_m(\text{Com})$.

Conant and Vogtman defined in [2] a functor from cyclic operads to symplectic Lie algebras. For $\mathcal{P} = \text{Com}$ it turns out to be the Lie algebra described above. In a future article, we will explain the choice of the notation sp(Com).

The algebra $sp_m(Com)$ is a Lie algebra. It is in particular a Leibniz algebra, and therefore we can consider its Leibniz homology.

Our aim is to prove the following theorem :

Theorem 3.2. Let \mathbb{K} be a characteristic zero field. There exists a canonical Zinbiel-associative bialgebra isomorphism :

$$HL_*(sp(Com)) \cong T(H_*(\mathcal{G}_c^3))$$

Proof. The proof will be decomposed into four steps as follows :

First step : quotient the chain complex $CL_*(sp(Com))$ by the action of the reductive Lie algebra $sp_{2m}(\mathbb{K})$. This step is known as the Koszul trick.

Second step : apply the co-invariant theory and then mimic Kontsevich's idea to reduce the chain complex to a complex of graphs.

Third step : reduce the chain complex of graphs to a smaller one by constructing explicit homotopies.

Fourth step : show that the isomorphism obtained is a Zinbiel-associative bialgebra isomorphism. $\hfill\blacksquare$

Burgunder

4. First step : Koszul trick

The Leibniz chain complex of the Lie algebra sp(Com) can be reduced by the Koszul trick. It is quasi-isomorphic to the chain complex spanned by the symplectic co-invariants.

4.1. Adjoint representation and Leibniz homology. In this section we translate some well known homological properties of Lie algebras in the Leibniz context. Most of this subsection can be found in [10].

Proposition 4.1. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Leibniz algebra. The adjoint action of \mathfrak{g} on itself given by

$$[g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n, g] := \sum_{i=1}^n g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes [g_i, g] \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n$$

is compatible with d. The induced action on $HL_*(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{K})$ is trivial.

Proof. The compatibility of the adjoint action with the differential is proved in [10] lemma 10.6.3 (10.6.3.0) by induction :

$$d[\alpha,g] = [d\alpha,g]$$
 for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n}$ and $g \in \mathfrak{g}$.

In order to prove the second assertion, we construct a homotopy σ from the adjoint action to zero.

For any $y \in \mathfrak{g}$ let $\sigma(y) : \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n+1}$ be the map of degree one given by :

$$\sigma(y)(\alpha) := (-1)^n \alpha \otimes y, \ \alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n} .$$

Then, the following equality holds :

$$d\sigma(y)(\alpha) + \sigma(y)d(\alpha) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{j+n+1} \alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes [\alpha_i, \alpha_j] \otimes \cdots \otimes \widehat{\alpha_j} \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_n \otimes y + \sum_{i,j=1}^n (-1)^{j+n} \alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes [\alpha_i, \alpha_j] \otimes \cdots \otimes \widehat{\alpha_j} \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_n \otimes y = [\alpha, y].$$

This proves that $\sigma(y)$ is a homotopy from [-, y] to 0, whence the assertion.

Definition 4.2. Let G be a group and V be a left $\mathbb{K}[G]$ -module. By definition the vector space of *invariants* is

$$V^G := \{ v \in V | g \cdot v = v \text{ for all } g \in G \} ,$$

and the space of co-invariants is :

$$V_G := V/_{\{g \cdot v - v\}} .$$

When G is finite and |G| is invertible in \mathbb{K} , there is a canonical isomorphism $V_G \cong V^G$ given by the averaging map :

$$[v] \mapsto \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot v \; .$$

Proposition 4.3. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Leibniz algebra. Let \mathfrak{h} be a reductive sub-Lie algebra of \mathfrak{g} . Then the surjective map $\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n} \to (\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n})_{\mathfrak{h}}$ induces an isomorphism on homology,

$$HL_*(\mathfrak{g},\mathbb{K})\cong HL_*((\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n})_{\mathfrak{h}},d)$$
.

Proof. Since \mathfrak{g} is a completely reducible \mathfrak{h} -module, the module $\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n}$ splits, as a representation of \mathfrak{h} , into a direct sum of isotypic components. The component corresponding to the trivial representation is $(\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n})_{\mathfrak{h}}$ (co-invariant module). Let us denote L_n the sum of all the other components. Since \mathfrak{h} is a sub-Lie algebra of \mathfrak{g} it is in particular a Leibniz algebra and then proposition 4.1 implies that d is compatible with the action of \mathfrak{h} . So there is a direct sum decomposition of complexes :

$$T(\mathfrak{g}) \cong (T(\mathfrak{g}))_{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus L_{\star}.$$

To finish the proof it suffices to prove that L_{\star} is an acyclic complex.

Since L_* is made of simple modules which are not trivial \mathfrak{h} -modules, the components of $HL_*(L_*)$ are not trivial either. But by proposition 4.1, $HL_*(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{K})$ is a trivial \mathfrak{g} -module and so a trivial \mathfrak{h} -module. Therefore $HL_*(L_*)$ has to be zero.

The same properties are true for Lie algebras with the Chevalley-Eilenberg chain complex, see [10] section 10.1.8.

4.2. First step of the proof. We apply the above theory to the symplectic Lie algebra sp(Com) with the action of the reductive symplectic Lie algebra $sp(\mathbb{K})$. First we verify that the symplectic Lie algebra $sp(\mathbb{K})$ is included in the Lie algebra sp(Com), cf [2].

Recall that sp(2n) is the set of $2n \times 2n$ -matrices a satisfying $aj + j^t a = 0$ where $j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{Id} \\ -\text{Id} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Proposition 4.4 (cf. [2]). The symplectic Lie algebra $S^2(V_m)$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra $sp_{2n}(\mathbb{K})$.

Since $sp_{2m}(\mathbb{K})$ is contained into $sp_{2m}(\text{Com})$ it acts on $sp_{2m}(\text{Com})$ by the inner action.

Corollary 4.5. The Leibniz complex of the Lie algebra sp(Com) is quasiisomorphic to the Leibniz complex $sp(Com)_{sp(\mathbb{K})}$.

Proof. Apply proposition 4.3 to the Leibniz algebra $\mathfrak{g} = sp_{2m}(\text{Com})$, and the reducible algebra $\mathfrak{h} = sp_{2m}$ which is included in $sp_{2m}(\text{Com})$ by the above proposition. Then, take the inductive limit.

5. The co-invariant theory for the symplectic group [10, 5, 17]

Co-invariant theory for the symplectic group is the main key to reduce our complex to a complex of graphs. The first part of this section is devoted to some recalls on the co-invariant theory for the symplectic group, due to Procesi [17]. Then, we apply this theory to our Leibniz chain complex.

5.1. Recall on co-invariant theory for the symplectic group.

Definition 5.1. Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{K} and let $\omega : V \times V \to \mathbb{K}$ be a non-degenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form. We define the *symplectic group* relative to ω as a subgroup of the general linear group on V, denoted $GL(V,\mathbb{K})$, by :

$$Sp(V,\omega) := \{g \in GL(V,\mathbb{K}) : \omega(gx,gy) = \omega(x,y), \text{ for all } x,y \in V\} \ ,$$

Definition 5.2. Consider the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{K}[y_{ij}]$, where $i \neq j$ ranges over $\{1, \ldots, 2n\}$, and where the relation $y_{ij} = -y_{ji}$ holds. Since we are in characteristic zero, it implies $y_{ii} = 0$. Define A_n as the subspace spanned by the monomials $y_{i_1i_2} \ldots y_{i_{2n-1}i_{2n}}$ such that $\{i_1, \ldots, i_{2n}\}$ is a permutation of $\{1, \ldots, 2n\}$.

Definition 5.3. The symmetric group Σ_n acts on the left on A_n by permuting the variables as follows :

$$\sigma \cdot y_{i_1 i_2} \dots y_{i_{2n-1} i_{2n}} = y_{\sigma^{-1}(i_1)\sigma^{-1}(i_2)} \dots y_{\sigma^{-1}(i_{2n-1})\sigma^{-1}(i_{2n})} ,$$

for all $y_{i_1i_2} \dots y_{i_{2n-1}i_{2n}} \in A_n$ and for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$.

Dualising the assertions in [10] section 9.5, leads to the following formulations of the two fundamental theorems of co-invariant theory in the symplectic context.

Theorem 5.4 (First Fundamental Theorem for the Symplectic Group). Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{K} and $\omega : V \times V \to \mathbb{K}$ be a non-degenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form. The map $T^* : (V^{\otimes 2r})_{Sp(V)} \longrightarrow A_r$ induced by :

$$v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{2r} \mapsto \sum_{y_{i_1 i_2} \cdots y_{i_{2r-1} i_{2r}} \in A_r} \omega^{\otimes r} (v_{i_1} \otimes v_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_{2r-1}} \otimes v_{i_{2r}}) \ y_{i_1 i_2} \cdots y_{i_{2r-1} i_{2r}} \ ,$$

where the sum is over all monomials of A_r , is injective.

Theorem 5.5 (Second Fundamental Theorem for the Symplectic Group). Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{K} and $\omega : V \times V \to \mathbb{K}$ be a non-degenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form. The co-kernel of the map T^* : $(V^{\otimes 2r})_{Sp(V)} \longrightarrow A_r$ is the sum of all the isotypic components $((A_r)_{\lambda})^*$, $\lambda = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r\}$ such that $\lambda_1 \geq \frac{1}{2} \dim V + 2$. In particular T^* is an isomorphism as soon as $\dim V \geq 2r$.

These two theorems can be summarized in the following short exact sequence :

 $0 \longrightarrow (V_m^{\otimes 2r})_{Sp_{2m}(\mathbb{K})} \longrightarrow A_r \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda} ((A_r)_{\lambda})^* \longrightarrow 0 .$

Proposition 5.6. Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{K} of characteristic zero and $\omega : V \times V \to \mathbb{K}$ be a non-degenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form. If n is odd, then we have $(V^{\otimes n})_{Sp(V)} = 0$.

Proof. This proof can be found in [5]. For (V, ρ) a representation, then define ρ_n as the representation of the tensor product $V^{\otimes n}$. Clearly, there are no invariants : as $-I \in sp(V)$, then for all $x \in V^{\otimes n}$ we have $\rho_n(-I)(x) = (-1)^n x = -x$, since n is odd. As for finite dimensional vector spaces over a characteristic zero field, invariants and co-invariants are isomorphic, the assertion is true.

Let M be $\text{Sp}(\mathbb{K})$ -bimodule, there is a canonical structure of $sp(\mathbb{K})$ -module on M. Moreover, we have the following isomorphism of co-invariant spaces $M_{Sp(\mathbb{K})} = M_{sp(\mathbb{K})}$.

5.2. Co-invariant theory applied to the Leibniz complex of sp(Com). We will now apply the above theory of co-invariants for the symplectic group to reduce the Leibniz chain complex of $(sp(\text{Com}))_{sp(\mathbb{K})}$ to a more explicit chain complex. As we focus on the inductive limit of sp(Com) the two fundamental co-invariant theorems give rise to an isomorphism.

If we focus on the non-stable case, that is to say $sp_m(\text{Com})$ where m is fixed, then the co-invariant theorems would only stabilise one part of each chain module. It is very different from the Loday-Quillen case where they compute the homology of the general linear group of an associative algebra. In their case the coinvariant theory gives rise to an isomorphism $H_n(gl_n(A)) \cong H_{n+1}(gl_n(A)) \cong \dots$ Therefore, they can compute the first obstruction to stability.

In order to apply the co-invariant theory, we have to verify first that the action of $sp(\mathbb{K})$ and of the symmetric group commute.

Proposition 5.7. Let V be a finite dimensional vector space. Let $m = \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i$. The actions of sp(V) on $V^{\otimes m}$ and of $\Sigma_{k_1} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{k_n}$ on $V^{\otimes m}$ commute.

Proof. Let $A \in sp(V)$, $x := x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n \in V^{\otimes n}$, $\sigma \in \Sigma_{k_1} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{k_n}$. We denote ρ the action of the symplectic Lie algebra. We show by direct computation that the two actions commute.

$$\rho(A) \circ \sigma x = \rho(A) \cdot x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma^{-1}(n)} \\
= \sum_{j=0}^{n} x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \underbrace{\{x_{\sigma^{-1}(j)}, A\}}_{j \text{th place}} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma^{-1}(n)} \\
= \sum_{i=0,\sigma(j)=i}^{n} x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \underbrace{\{x_{i}, A\}}_{\sigma^{-1}(i) \text{th place}} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma^{-1}(n)} \\
= \sigma \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \{x_{i}, A\} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n} \\
= \sigma \circ \rho(A) x .$$

And the proof is completed.

140

BURGUNDER

We then have to transform the module of chains $sp_{2m}(\text{Com})^{\otimes n}$ so that the $(V^{\otimes n})_{sp(\mathbb{K})}$ appears.

Lemma 5.8. Let V_m be the symplectic 2m-dimensional vector space. The following equality holds :

$$(sp_{2m}(\operatorname{Com})^{\otimes n})_{sp_{2m}(\mathbb{K})} = \bigoplus_{\substack{k_1 + \dots + k_n = 2r \\ k_i > 2}} ((V_m^{\otimes 2r})_{sp_{2m}(\mathbb{K})})_{\Sigma_{k_1} \times \dots \times \Sigma_{k_n}} .$$

Proof. Direct calculation leads to the following equalities :

$$sp_{2m}(\operatorname{Com})^{\otimes n} := S^{\geq 2}(V_m)^{\otimes n} = (\bigoplus_{k\geq 2} (V_m^{\otimes k})_{\Sigma_k})^{\otimes n}$$

$$= \bigoplus_{\substack{(k_1, \dots, k_n) \\ k_i \geq 2}} (V_m^{\otimes k_1})_{\Sigma_{k_1}} \otimes \dots \otimes (V_m^{\otimes k_n})_{\Sigma_{k_n}}$$

$$= \bigoplus_{\substack{k_1 + \dots + k_n = 2r \\ k_i \geq 2}} (V_m^{\otimes 2r})_{\Sigma_{k_1} \times \dots \times \Sigma_{k_n}} \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{k_1 + \dots + k_n = 2r + 1 \\ k_i \geq 2}} (V_m^{\otimes 2r+1})_{\Sigma_{k_1} \times \dots \times \Sigma_{k_n}}$$

Then, applying proposition 5.7 to $(sp_{2m}(\text{Com})^{\otimes n})_{sp_{2m}(\mathbb{K})}$ and proposition 5.6 completes the proof.

We are now ready to apply the co-invariant theory to obtain the following proposition :

Proposition 5.9. The chain module $(sp(Com))^{\otimes n}$ is isomorphic to

$$(sp(\operatorname{Com})^{\otimes n})_{sp(\mathbb{K})} = \bigoplus_{\substack{k_1 + \dots + k_n = 2r \\ k_i \ge 2}} (A_r)_{\Sigma_{k_1} \times \dots \times \Sigma_{k_n}}.$$

Proof. It suffices to apply lemma 5.8 and the co-invariant theory theorems 5.4 and 5.5.

Remark 5.10. The map $T(sp(\text{Com})) \to T(sp(\text{Com}))_{sp(\mathbb{K})} \to \oplus(A_r)_{\Sigma_{k_1} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{k_n}}$ admits a splitting *S* induced by the following construction : to a monomial $y_{i_1,i_2} \cdots y_{i_{2r-1},i_{2r}}$ we associate a monomial in indeterminates $\{p_1, q_1, \ldots\}$ in $V^{\otimes 2r}$ such that at the place i_{2k-1} there is a p_k and at the place i_{2k} there is a q_k . Moreover this map is a Σ_n -morphism.

Example 5.11. The image by S of $y_{14}y_{27}y_{35}y_{86}$ is $p_1p_2p_3q_1q_3q_4q_2p_4$.

6. Second step : Chord diagrams chain complex

First, we show that the vector space A_r coming from the co-invariant theory is isomorphic to the vector space spanned by the base pointed chord diagrams. So we can understand the Leibniz chain complex coming from the co-invariant theory as a chord diagram chain complex.

Definition 6.1. Let *m* be a positive integer. A partition *c* of $\{1, \ldots, 2m\}$ such that every $x \in c$ is a set consisting precisely of two elements will be called a *(base pointed) chord diagram*, where the base point is the set with the element 1. The set of all such chord diagrams will be denoted by \mathfrak{D}_m . A chord diagram with an ordering of each two point set will be called an *oriented chord diagram*. The set of all oriented chord diagrams will be denoted by \mathfrak{D} . There is a symmetric action on the chord diagram. Given an oriented chord diagram $c := \{[i_1, j_1], \ldots, [i_m, j_m]\}$ and a permutation $\sigma \in S_{2m}$, the symmetric group S_{2m} acts on \mathfrak{D}_m as follows :

$$\sigma \cdot c := \{ [\sigma^{-1}(i_1), \sigma^{-1}(j_1)], \dots, [\sigma^{-1}(i_m), \sigma^{-1}(j_m)] \}.$$

The symmetric group S_{2m} acts on \mathfrak{D}_m in a similar way. A *labelled* diagram is a diagram $D \in \mathfrak{D}_m$ together with a map between $\{1, \ldots, 2m\}$ to the set of labellings $\{p_1, q_1, \ldots\}$. Any element in $\{1, \ldots, 2m\}$ is called a *vertex* and any set of two elements is called a *label*.

Example 6.2. There is a geometrical interpretation of a chord diagram : it's usual to put vertices on a circle and to draw each chord inside the disk. For example the diagram $D = \{\{1, 4\}, \{2, 7\}, \{3, 5\}, \{8, 6\}\} \in \mathfrak{D}_4$ can be geometrically represented as follows : cf. figure 7.



Figure 7: Geometric representation of the chord diagram D.

If the diagram is oriented then the orientation will be represented as some arrows on the chords as in figure 8.

The numbering on the circle is defined as follows : determine a base point and label it with 1 and label increasingly according to a positive orientation.

Proposition 6.3. The space A_m is isomorphic as a Σ_n -module to the vector space spanned by the collection of chord diagrams with m chords, denoted $\mathbb{K}[\mathfrak{D}_m]$.

Proof. Let $\beta := y_{j_1,j_2} \dots y_{j_{2m-1}j_{2m}} \in A_m$ be a monomial. As any monomial in A_m satisfies the relation $y_{ij} = -y_{ji}$, β can be rewritten (up to a sign) as the



Figure 8: Geometric representation of the oriented chord diagram D.

standard monomial $y_{i_1i_2} \ldots y_{i_{2m-1}i_{2n}}$ with $i_1 = 1$, $i_{2k-1} < i_{2k}$ and $i_{2k-1} < i_{2k+1}$ for all $k \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$. (This remark will mod out the orientation on the diagrams.) Therefore, we can focus on ordered monomials and construct the isomorphism.

Consider $\gamma := y_{i_1i_2} \dots y_{i_{2m-1}i_{2m}}$ an ordered monomial. It can be associated to the following base-pointed diagram $\{\{1, i_2\}, \dots, \{\min(i_{2m-1}, i_{2m}), \max(i_{2n-1}, i_{2n})\}\} \in \mathfrak{D}_m$.

By linearity, this construction gives rise to a map $\phi : A_m \to \mathbb{K}[\mathfrak{D}_m]$ which is a vector space isomorphism. (The inverse map is clear).

We verify that the map ϕ is Σ_n -equivariant. The permutation $\sigma \in \Sigma_{2n}$ acts on a standard monomial as :

$$\sigma \cdot y_{i_1 i_2} \dots y_{i_{2n-1} i_{2n}} = y_{\sigma^{-1}(i_1)\sigma^{-1}(i_2)} \dots y_{\sigma^{-1}(i_{2n-1})\sigma^{-1}(i_{2n})} .$$

The chord diagram $\phi(\sigma \cdot y_{i_1 i_2} \dots y_{i_{2n-1} i_{2n}})$ is defined as the following partition :

 $\{\{\sigma^{-1}(i_1), \sigma^{-1}(i_2)\}, \ldots, \{\sigma^{-1}(i_{2n-1}), \sigma^{-1}(i_{2n})\}\}$.

This is exactly $\sigma \cdot \phi(y_{i_1 i_2} \dots y_{i_{2n-1} i_{2n}})$. Therefore ϕ is a Σ_n -module isomorphism.

Example 6.4. The image of the monomial $y_{14}y_{27}y_{35}y_{86}$ under the map ϕ is the diagram defined in example 6.2, which has the geometric representation of figure 7.

Though it is unnecessary for the proof of theorem 3.2, we will define the chain complex of chord diagrams and then prove that this chain complex is quasi isomorphic to the chain complex defined for sp(Com). This part can be skipped as in the next section, we show that the chain complex sp(Com) is quasi-isomorphic to the chain complex defined on the graphs.

Remark 6.5. Let $\Gamma \in (\mathfrak{D})_{\Sigma_{k_1} \times \ldots \times \Sigma_{k_n}}$ be a diagram. We will call a *package* the subset of vertices on which a Σ_{k_i} acts. Let $D \in \overrightarrow{\mathfrak{D}}$ be a diagram obtained by $D' \in \overrightarrow{\mathfrak{D}}$ by a change of orientation on a chord e = [i, j] into [j, i]. We set $D \sim -D'$.

The algebra spanned by the oriented chord diagrams quotiented by the above equivalence relation is exactly the algebra spanned by the chord diagrams without any chord with two incident half edges in the same package Σ_i .

Definition 6.6. Let $D \in \mathfrak{D}_m$ be a diagram and e a chord of D. We define the *contraction* of D by e as a new diagram denoted $D/e \in \mathfrak{D}_{m-1}$ where the set

 $\{1, \ldots, 2(m-1)\}$ admits for partition the shifting of the partition c of D where e has been deleted (standardisation).

Example 6.7. Let D be the diagram defined in example 6.2, and let e be the edge $\{2,7\}$. The contraction of D by the edge e is the following diagram $D/e = \{\{1,3\},\{2,4\},\{5,6\}\}$ which can be geometrically represented as figure 9.



Figure 9: Geometric representation of the chord diagram D/e.

Let $D \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{D}}$ be an oriented chord diagram and let c = [i, j] be Definition 6.8. an oriented edge of D. We define the sign $\epsilon(c) \in \{-1, 1\}$ as follows :

$$\epsilon(c) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i > j \\ 1 & \text{if } i < j \end{cases}.$$

Denote by $C^m(\vec{\mathfrak{D}}, \delta)$ the chain complex defined as follows. The Definition 6.9. *n*th chain module is $\bigoplus_{k_1+\dots+k_r=n} (\vec{\mathfrak{D}}_n)_{\Sigma_{k_1}\times\dots\times\Sigma_{k_r}}$. These chain modules are endowed with the following differential : let $D \in \mathfrak{D}_n$, we define :

$$\partial(\vec{D}) := \sum_{c} (-1)^{i} \epsilon(c) \vec{D/c} ,$$

where the sum runs over all the chords c = [a, b] and i is defined such as $\sum_{p=1}^{i} k_p \leq \max(a, b) < \sum_{p=1}^{i+1} k_p$. Remark that the result of this differential lives in

$$\oplus (\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{n-1})_{\Sigma_{k_1} \times \cdots \Sigma_{k_i+k_j-2} \times \cdots \times \widehat{\Sigma}_{k_j} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{k_r}}$$

where the sum is extended to all [i, j] which are chords of the diagram.

Proposition 6.10. The differential passes through the equivalence relation of remark 6.5.

Proof. The differential does not depend on the representative oriented diagram of D. Indeed, let D be an oriented representative of the chord diagram D, and let c = [a, b] be one of its oriented chord. Consider the oriented diagram $\vec{D'}$ with

BURGUNDER

the same orientations as \overrightarrow{D} except for the chord linking a to b where we consider the orientation [b, a] =: c'. A direct computation ends the proof :

$$\partial(\vec{D}) - \partial(\vec{D'}) = (-1)^i (\epsilon(c) [\vec{D/c}] - \epsilon(c') [\vec{D/c}])$$

= $(-1)^i \epsilon(c) (1-1) [\vec{D/c}]$
= 0.

Indeed, any other changes in the orientation will just lead to a sum of the above equality.

Example 6.11. Consider the chord diagram $D \in (\mathfrak{D})_{\Sigma_3 \times \Sigma_3 \times \Sigma_2}$ defined in example 6.2. In order to compute its differential we will consider the representative oriented chord diagram $\hat{D} \in (\vec{\mathfrak{D}})_{\Sigma_3 \times \Sigma_3 \times \Sigma_2}$ also defined in the same example:

 $\partial(D) = \partial(\hat{D}) = (-1)^3 \{ \{1,3\}, \{2,4\}, \{6,5\} \} - (-1)^3 \{ \{1,4\}, \{2,6\}, \{3,5\} \} \; .$

The differential is more easily expressed thanks to a geometrical representation where we explicitly materialise the packages Σ_{k_i} in the result of the differential. In figure 10, we give the result of all contracted diagrams without taking into account that the diagrams such that a chord is included in a package Σ_{k_i} are null. Then, we take this relation into account to give the result of the differential in figure 11.



Figure 10: Geometric representation of the $\partial(D)$ without the equivalence relation.

Proposition 6.12. The differential on the chain complex of diagrams is induced by the Leibniz differential of the chain complex of sp(Com).

Proof. See proof of proposition 7.4.

7. Second step : Kontsevich idea and symmetric graph complex

In the context of Lie homology, Kontsevich's major contribution is to give an isomorphism between the quotient space of chord diagrams by the action of the



Figure 11: Geometric representation of the $\partial(D)$.

symmetric group $(\mathbb{K}[\mathfrak{D}])_{\Sigma_{k_1} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{k_n}}$ and some graphs. We will mimic this idea to show that the graphs arising are a symmetric version of Kontsevich graphs : they admit an labelling of the vertices. To avoid the problem of signs in the differential, we will use a trick seen in [2], and consider the chain complex of oriented symmetric graphs.

Proposition 7.1. Let r, k_1, \ldots, k_n be integers satisfying the relation $\sum_{i=1}^n k_i = 2r$ and such that $k_i \ge 2$ for all $1 \le i \le n$. There exists a vector space isomorphism :

$$(\mathbb{K}[\mathfrak{D}_r])_{\Sigma_{k_1} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{k_n}} \cong \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}_{k_1 \cdots k_n}]$$

Proof. We construct explicitly the map $\varphi : (\mathbb{K}[\mathfrak{D}_r])_{\Sigma_{k_1} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{k_n}} \to \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}_{k_1 \cdots k_n}].$ We associate to any chord diagram $D := \{\{1, i_2\}, \ldots, \{i_{2r-1}, i_{2r}\}\}$ the following graph :

$$V(G) = \{1, ..., n\},\$$

$$E(G) = \{\{1, \operatorname{norm}(i_2)\}, ..., \{\operatorname{norm}(i_{2r-1}), \operatorname{norm}(i_{2r})\}\},\$$

where the map norm : $\{1, \ldots, 2r\} \rightarrow \{1, \ldots, n\}$ is defined as follows :

norm
$$(j) = l$$
, where l is defined by $\sum_{i=1}^{l} k_i \le j < \sum_{i=1}^{l+1} k_i$

We extend linearly this construction to define the map $\varphi : (\mathbb{K}[\mathfrak{D}_r])_{\Sigma_{k_1} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{k_n}} \to \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}_{k_1 \cdots k_n}]$.

This map admits an inverse map defined by the following algorithm. Let $r := \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i$, let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n}$ be a graph with edges (i_k, i_l) . We construct a diagram $D \in (\mathfrak{D}_r)_{\sum_{k_1} \times \cdots \times \sum_{k_n}}$. The algorithm to define the edges is the following. Let comp = 0, ind = 1 and $D' = \{\alpha_G(e_1) \cdots, \alpha_G(e_r)\}$. Go through each set (of cardinality two) of D' if the element i_x is equal to ind then we indent this element into $i_x + comp$. Then comp takes the value comp + 1, and we repeat the algorithm. When, the algorithm is over all the edges, ind takes the value ind + 1. (The counter comp should be taking the value $1 + \sum_{i=1}^{ind-1} k_i$.) Restart the algorithm on the vertices that were not modified.

It is clear that the two maps are inverse to each other. Therefore ϕ is an isomorphism, and thus ends the proof.



Figure 12: From chord diagram to graphs

Remark 7.2. The fact that the diagrams with a chord included in a package Σ_i are null induces that the graphs with loops are null. Indeed, let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{k_1,\dots,k_m}$ be a graph and e = (i, i) be a loop of G. Then $\phi^{-1} \circ \varphi^{-1}(G)$ is the monomial in indeterminates y_{kl} of the form $\cdots y_{j,j+1} \cdots \in (A_r)_{\Sigma_{k_1} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{k_m}}$. A representative of this monomial in $(V^{\otimes 2r})_{\Sigma_{k_1} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{k_m}}$ is a monomial such that p_1 is at the place j and q_1 is at the place j + 1. By the symmetric action, this monomial is also equal to the same monomial where p_1 is at the place j + 1 and q_1 is at the place j. Therefore, going through T^* , it gives the monomial $\cdots y_{j+1,j} \cdots \in (A_r)_{\Sigma_{k_1} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{k_m}}$. By the equivalence relation, this monomial is exactly $-\cdots y_{j,j+1} \cdots$. Therefore, we proved that graphs with loops are null.

Example 7.3. The image of the diagram D of the example 6.11 by ϕ is the following graph:

 $(\{1,2,3\},\{\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{1,2\},\{2,3\}\})$

It can be understood geometrically by figure 12.

Proposition 7.4. The Leibniz homology of the Lie algebra sp(Com) is isomorphic to the homology of the symmetric graph complex :

$$HL_n(sp(Com)) = H_n(\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}], \delta)$$

Proof. The first step of the proof of theorem 3.2 gives a quasi-isomorphism between the Leibniz chain complex of sp(Com) and the Leibniz chain complex defined for $sp(Com)_{sp(\mathbb{K})}$. Then, propositions 5.9, 6.3 and 7.1 give a vector space isomorphism between the Leibniz chain complex of $(sp(\text{Com}))_{sp(\mathbb{K})}$ and the vector space of graphs, namely $\varphi \circ \phi \circ T^*$. Therefore, it suffices to show that the differential defined on the graphs is exactly induced by the differential of CL(sp(Com)). In order to do so, we will consider a graph, and through splittings consider a representative of this graph in T(sp(Com)). Then, we will explicitly describe the differential of this representative, and we will give this result in terms of graphs thanks to the vector space isomorphism. Since the result is exactly the differential defined on the complex of graphs, it will end the proof.

Consider the integers k_1, \ldots, k_n and define $r := \sum_{i=1}^n k_i$. Let $G = (V(G), E(G), \alpha_G)$ be a graph in $\mathcal{G}_{k_1, \ldots, k_n}$.

First, we construct a representative of G in T(sp(Com)) as $S \circ \phi^{-1} \circ \varphi^{-1}(G)$. The map φ^{-1} in the proof of proposition 7.1 gives the construction of a diagram $D \in (\mathfrak{D}_r)_{\Sigma_{k_1} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{k_n}}$. Then, to have a representative of D in $(A_r)_{\Sigma_{k_1} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{k_n}}$, we consider the monomial $m := \phi^{-1}(D)$, see the proof of proposition 6.3. Moreover, this monomial admits a representative in T(sp(Com)) defined as P := S(m) (see remark 5.10). This element P is of the form $\pm \underbrace{p_1 p_2 \dots p_{k_1}}_{k_1} \otimes \underbrace{\dots}_{k_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes \underbrace{q_{i_1} \dots q_{i_{k_n}}}_{k_n}$.

Then, we explicitly describe the differential of the representative. Taking the differential of this element where each p_i and each q_i appear once in this order in different factors of the tensor product gives the sum of signed elements of graduation n-1 where two factors have merged and one couple in this merged factor is omitted. Indeed, let us denote

$$\tilde{p}_i := \begin{cases} p_i & \text{if } i \le r \\ q_{i-r} & \text{si } i \ge r+1 \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$d(\underbrace{\tilde{p}_{i_1}\ldots\tilde{p}_{i_{k_1}}}_{k_1}\otimes\ldots\otimes\underbrace{\dots}_{k_n}) = \sum_{\substack{j$$

where $l = \sum_{s=0}^{i-1} k_s$ and $m = \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} k_s$. The only way the element of the sum is non-trivial is that there exists at least a couple p_s in the k_i th factor of the tensor product and q_s the k_j th factor (the case p_s in the k_j th factor of the tensor product and q_s in the k_i th factor does not happen in our construction therefore no sign will appear from here). As a couple (p_s, q_s) appears only once it is clear that the k_i and the k_j factor will concatenate omitting the couple (p_s, q_s) . The sign that appears depends on the number j of the factor of $sp(\text{Com})^{\otimes n}$ where the element q_i of the couple appears (as the couple appears in this order in the tensor factors).

The result of the differential can be understood in terms of graphs thanks to the vector space isomorphism $\varphi \circ \phi \circ T^*$. The number j is represented as the jth vertex of the graph. Moreover, the omission of the couple is translated by the disappearance of the appropriate vertex and the identification of its two edges giving rise to the necessary changes of vertices which is exactly the contraction of the graph with this vertex. And so, we can conclude that :

$$\delta(G) = \sum_{e=[i,j]\in E(G)} (-1)^{\max(i,j)} \epsilon(i,j) G/e \text{ for all } G \in \mathcal{G} .$$

(In our construction, the oriented representative that we take is exactly the one such that every $\epsilon(i, j) = 1$, and therefore $\max(i, j) = j$.)

It is exactly the differential defined on the chain complex of graphs. This ends the proof.

Example 7.5. We sketch the idea of the proof on an example. Let G be the graph defined as $(\{1, 2, 3\}, \{\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}\})$ with geometric interpretation as in figure 1. This graph can be lifted into the following diagram

BURGUNDER

 $\{\{1, 4\}, \{2, 5\}, \{3, 7\}, \{6, 8\}\} \in (\mathfrak{D}_4)_{\Sigma_3 \times \Sigma_3 \times \Sigma_2}$ which is geometrically interpreted as the diagram in figure 7. This diagram is isomorphic to the monomial $y_{14}y_{27}y_{35}y_{68}$ in $(A_4)_{\Sigma_3 \times \Sigma_3 \times \Sigma_2}$. It can be lifted as the following monomial in T(sp(Com)):

$$P := p_1 p_2 p_3 \otimes q_1 q_2 p_4 \otimes q_3 q_4$$

Taking the differential of this element gives the following result:

$$d(p_1p_2p_3 \otimes q_1q_2p_4 \otimes q_3q_4) = p_2p_3q_2p_4 \otimes q_3q_4 + p_1p_3q_1p_4 \otimes q_3q_4 -p_1p_2p_3 \otimes q_1q_2q_3 - p_1p_2q_4 \otimes q_1q_2p_4 .$$

To interpret this result in terms of graphs, we compute $\varphi \circ \phi \circ T^*$ of the result. By the map T^* we obtain the sum of the following monomials in $(A_3)_{\Sigma_4 \times \Sigma_2} \oplus (A_3)_{\Sigma_3 \times \Sigma_3}$:

$$2y_{13}y_{25}y_{46} - 2y_{14}y_{25}y_{36} ,$$

= $-2y_{14}y_{25}y_{36}$

by the symmetric action, then the map ϕ gives the following diagram in $(\mathfrak{D}_3)_{\Sigma_3 \times \Sigma_3}$:

$$(-2(\{1,4\},\{2,5\},\{3,6\})),$$

see figure 13, finally the map φ gives the sum of graphs :

_

 $-2(\{1,2\},\{\{1,2\},\{1,2\},\{1,2\}\})$

see figure 5. By example 2.13 we realise that the two calculations of the differential are identical.



Figure 13: Geometrical interpretation of the diagram $\phi \circ T^*(P)$

Proposition 7.6. There exists a vector space isomorphism between the Leibniz homology of sp(Com) and the chain complex of connected graphs :

$$HL_n(sp(Com)) \cong T(H_n(\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}_c]))$$

Proof. The above proposition ensures that $HL_n(sp(\text{Com})) \cong H_n(\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}], \delta)$. Moreover there is a vector space isomorphism between the tensor module over the vector space spanned by connected graphs and the vector space spanned by graphs : $HL_n(sp(\text{Com})) \cong H_n(T(\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}_c]))$. It is well-known, cf. appendix B of Quillen [18], that the functors T and H commute. This ends the proof.

8. Third step : explicit homotopies

This step reduces the computation of the homology of the vector space spanned by connected graphs. As in the Kontsevich case we can reduce the computation of the homology to the complexes of graphs which have no bivalent vertex. To avoid a spectral sequence, we show the acyclicity of the quotient complex of the connected graphs by the polygons and the graphs with no bivalent vertex, by producing an explicit homotopy. Moreover, with few changes, this homotopy could be used in the Lie context.

Let $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}_c]$ be the subcomplex of connected graphs. We denote by $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}_c^3]$ the subcomplex of graphs with no bivalent vertex. The subcomplex of graphs with only bivalent vertices are the polygons and is denoted by $\mathbb{K}[P]$.

Proposition 8.1. The subcomplex of graphs $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}_c/_{P\oplus \mathcal{G}_s^3}]$ is acyclic.

Proof. We construct a homotopy $h : \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}_c/_{P \oplus \mathcal{G}_c^3}] \to \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}_c/_{P \oplus \mathcal{G}_c^3}]$. Let L_k be the ladder graph with k bivalent vertices. Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and with m ladders. We define G_{+i} to be the graph G where the ladder i with k inner vertices L_k is replaced by L_{k+1} such that the added vertex is the last one and that it is labelled with n + 1.

$$h(G) := \sum_{i} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{m} G_{+i}$$

We verify that hd + dh = Id. There are two cases to go through. The first one is when h and d are adding and contracting edges of the same ladder : see figure 14.



Figure 14: Proof of the homotopy from Id to 0.

And therefore dh + hd = Id. The second is when d contractes an edge which is not part of this ladder. The two actions anti-commute (because the sign of h depends on the number of vertices which fall by one with the differential) and therefore dh + hd = 0 in this case.

Proposition 8.2. The homology of the subcomplex of graphs with only bivalent vertices is acyclic :

$$HL_*(\mathbb{K}[P]) \cong 0$$
.

Proof. The complex of the symplectic Lie algebra $T(S^2(V))$ is quasi-isomorphic to the subcomplex of polygons. By proposition 4.4, $S^2(V)$ is isomorphic to $sp(\mathbb{K})$. By Pirashvili [16], the Leibniz homology of $sp(\mathbb{K})$ is null. This ends the proof.

Proposition 8.3. There exists a vector space isomorphism such that :

 $HL_n(sp(Com)) \cong T(H(\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}_c^3]))$.

Proof. By proposition 7.6, we need to show that $H(\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}_c])$ is isomorphic to $H(\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}_c^3])$. Moreover $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}_c]$ is the sum of the subcomplexes $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}_c^3] \oplus \mathbb{K}[P] \oplus \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}_c/_{P \oplus \mathcal{G}_c^3}]$. So, the above propositions end the proof.

9. Fourth step : Graded differential Zinbiel-associative bialgebra

We would like to prove that the isomorphism in proposition 8.3 is not only a vector space isomorphism but a Zinbiel-associative bialgebra isomorphism. In order to do so, we must endow both homologies with this bialgebra structure.

The Leibniz homology of any Leibniz algebra admits naturally a Zinbiel (the Leibniz Koszul dual) coalgebra structure. The associative operation is particular to $HL_*(sp(\text{Com}))$, and it is induced by the sum of the symplectic matrices. Then, we show that the Zinbiel-associative structure on graphs, defined in definitions 2.4 and 2.11, is induced by the Zinbiel-associative structure on the Leibniz homology $HL_*(sp(\text{Com}))$, giving rise to the Zinbiel-associative isomorphism :

$$HL_*(sp(Com)) \cong H_*(\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}])$$
.

Moreover, we can state a rigidity theorem, analogous to the Hopf-Borel theorem for co-commutative and commutative bialgebras, stating that a connected Zinbielassociative bialgebra can be reconstructed from its primitives (see appendix). Therefore, we get the Zinbiel-associative isomorphism :

$$H_*(\mathcal{G}) \cong T(H_*(\mathcal{G}_c))$$
.

Then, the last step is clear as the subcomplexes we consider $(P, \mathcal{G}_c^3 \text{ and } \mathcal{G}_c/_{P \oplus \mathcal{G}_c^3})$ are Zinbiel-associative subcomplexes. So we have a Zinbiel-associative isomorphism :

$$HL_*(sp(Com)) \cong H_*(\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}_c^3])$$
.

9.1. The Zinbiel coalgebra structure on a Leibniz chain complex $CL_*(\mathfrak{g})$.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a Leibniz algebra. In his thesis [15], J.-M. Oudom showed that the diagonal map $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} : x \mapsto (x, x)$ induces a coproduct in the Leibniz chain complex of \mathfrak{g} , notably $(T\mathfrak{g}, \partial)$. Indeed, the diagonal map induces a differential map $\Phi : (T\mathfrak{g}, \partial) \to (T(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}), \partial)$. The Zinbiel coproduct is defined as the projection of Φ on the first component $T\mathfrak{g} \otimes T\mathfrak{g} \subset T(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})$. Moreover, J.-M. Oudom showed that this differential map is exactly the co-half shuffle. **Proposition 9.1** (cf. [15]). Let \mathfrak{g} be a Leibniz algebra. The co-half shuffle endows the Leibniz chain complex $T\mathfrak{g}$ with a differential Zinbiel coalgebra structure with :

$$\Delta(g_1 \dots g_n) := g_1 \sum_{p+q=n} \sum_{\underline{i} \in Sh_{p,q}} g_{i_1} \dots g_{i_p} \otimes g_{i_{p+1}} \dots g_{i_n} ,$$

where the sum is extended over all (p,q)-shuffles \underline{i} (i.e. the in multi-indices $\underline{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_n)$ the integers $1, \ldots, p$ are ordered such as $p + 1, \ldots, n$). Moreover this Zinbiel coalgebra structure is preserved on the Leibniz homology.

9.2. The associative algebra structure the Leibniz chain complex $CL_*(sp(Com)_{sp(\mathbb{K})})$.

To define the multiplication map, we consider the sum of matrices defined as :

$$\oplus : sp(Com) \times sp(Com) \to sp(Com) : (x, y) \mapsto E(x) + O(y) ,$$

where the maps $E : sp(Com) \to sp(Com)$ and $O : sp(Com) \to sp(Com)$ are induced by :

$$E(p_i) := p_{2i}, \ E(q_i) := q_{2i} ,$$

$$O(p_i) := p_{2i-1}, \ O(q_i) := q_{2i-1} ,$$

see for example [2]. This maps induces an operation on the chain Leibniz complex, by considering the injection of the first component $T(sp(\text{Com})) \otimes T(sp(\text{Com})) \subset$ $T(sp(\text{Com}) \times sp(\text{Com}))$. It can moreover be shown that this map is associative on the Leibniz chain complex of $sp(\text{Com})_{sp(\mathbb{K})}$, see the proof of proposition 9.4.

Moreover, the Leibniz chain complex $\operatorname{CL}_*(sp(\operatorname{Com})_{sp(\mathbb{K})})$ and the Leibniz homology $\operatorname{HL}_*(sp(\operatorname{Com})_{sp(\mathbb{K})})$ admit a structure of Zinbiel-associative bialgebra. It is proven thanks to the vector space isomorphism with the chain complex of graphs.

9.3. Zinbiel-associative bialgebra structure on the complex of graphs. The co-half shuffle endows $C^n(\mathcal{G}, \delta)$ with a structure of Zinbiel-associative bialgebra.

Proposition 9.2. The co-half shuffle defined on the graphs is induced by the co-half shuffle on the Leibniz complex on sp(Com).

Proof. The proof will be done in two steps. We will first focus on connected graphs. Let G be a connected graph. This graph can be seen as the inverse image of an element $w_1 \cdots w_n$ of $sp(\text{Com})^{\otimes n}$ as described in the proof of proposition 7.4 where $w_i \in V^{\otimes k_i}$ for certain k_i . First, we will apply the co-half shuffle to this element and obtain an element of $sp(\text{Com})^{\otimes 2}$. Then, we have to see this element as a graph once again under the map $(\phi \otimes \phi) \circ (T^* \otimes T^*)$ which will first give rise to a diagram then, taking into account the action of the cartesian product of symmetric groups leads to the graph. So, we have to determine the non-zero elements rising from the map $T^* \otimes T^*$. It's elementary to see that the element $G \otimes 1$ will rise. It is the only element. Indeed, suppose $T^*(w_1w_2\cdots w_{i_p}) \neq 0$ and $T^*(w_{i_{p+1}}\cdots w_{i_n}) \neq 0$.

Burgunder

This induces that $w_1w_{i_2}\cdots w_{i_p} \in V^{\otimes 2k_1}$ and $w_{i_{p+1}}\cdots w_{i_n} \in V^{\otimes 2k_2}$ for $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$. And moreover, supposes that there exists permutations $\sigma_1 \in S_{2k_1}$ and $\sigma_2 \in S_{2k_2}$ such that $\omega^{\otimes k_1}(w_{\sigma(1)}w_{\sigma_1(i_2)}\cdots w_{\sigma_1(i_p)}) = \pm 1$ and $\omega^{\otimes k_2}(w_{\sigma_2(i_{p+1})}\cdots w_{\sigma_2(i_n)}) = \pm 1$. Thus there exists a permutation $(\sigma_1(1), \cdots, \sigma_1(i_p), \sigma_2(i_{p+1}), \cdots, \sigma_2(i_n)) \in S_n$ such that $\omega^{\otimes k_1+k_2}(\sigma_1(1), \cdots, \sigma_1(i_p), \sigma_2(i_{p+1}), \cdots, \sigma_2(i_n)) = \pm 1$. And by taking into account the symmetric group action this gives rise to a non-connected graph. This implies that the connected and non-connected graphs are isomorphic, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\Delta_{\leq}(G) = G \otimes 1$ for connected graphs.

The second part of the proof is done with the same arguments as above, considering a non-connected graph. Indeed a non-connected graph is the disjoint union of connected graphs.

To illustrate the proof we consider the following example :

Example 9.3. The graph $G = (\{1, 2, 3\}, \{\{1, 2\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}\})$ considered in example 2.3, can be lifted as $p_1p_2p_3 \otimes q_2p_4 \otimes q_1q_3q_4$ in T(sp(Com)). Taking it's co-half shuffle gives :

$$\Delta_{\prec}(p_{1}p_{2}p_{3}\otimes q_{2}p_{4}\otimes q_{1}q_{3}q_{4}) = p_{1}p_{2}p_{3}\otimes q_{2}p_{4}\otimes q_{1}q_{3}q_{4}\bigotimes 1 + p_{1}p_{2}p_{3}\otimes q_{2}p_{4}\bigotimes q_{1}q_{3}q_{4}\bigotimes q_{2}p_{4} + p_{1}p_{2}p_{3}\bigotimes q_{2}p_{4}\otimes q_{1}q_{3}q_{4}$$

And applying $(\varphi \circ \phi \circ T^*) \otimes (\varphi \circ \phi \circ T^*)$ gives : $G \otimes 1$ which is exactly $\Delta_{\prec}(G)$.

The non-connected graph $H = H_1 \cdot H_1$ considered in example 2.5 admits for representative the following polynomial in T(sp(Com)):

$$P := p_1 p_2 \otimes q_1 q_2 \otimes p_3 p_4 \otimes q_3 q_4$$
.

Taking the differential of this element gives the following :

$$\Delta_{\prec}(p_1p_2 \otimes q_1q_2 \otimes p_3p_4 \otimes q_3q_4) = p_1p_2 \otimes q_1q_2 \otimes p_3p_4 \otimes q_3q_4 \bigotimes 1$$

+ $p_1p_2 \bigotimes q_1q_2 \otimes p_3p_4 \otimes q_3q_4 + p_1p_2 \otimes q_1q_2 \bigotimes p_3p_4 \otimes q_3q_4$
+ $p_1p_2 \otimes p_3p_4 \bigotimes q_1q_2 \otimes q_3q_4 + p_1p_2 \otimes q_3q_4 \bigotimes q_1q_2 \otimes p_3p_4$
+ $p_1p_2 \otimes q_1q_2 \otimes p_3p_4 \bigotimes q_3q_4 + p_1p_2 \otimes q_1q_2 \otimes q_3q_4 \bigotimes p_3p_4$
+ $p_1p_2 \otimes p_3p_4 \otimes q_3q_4 \bigotimes q_1q_2$.

To have the result in terms of graphs, we apply $(\varphi \circ \phi \circ T^*) \otimes (\varphi \circ \phi \circ T^*)$ to obtain :

$$H \otimes 1 + 2H_1 \otimes H_1$$

which is exactly $\Delta_{\prec}(H)$.

Proposition 9.4. The associative product, ordered disjoint union, on the complex of graphs is induced by the associative structure on the Leibniz chain complex $(T(sp(Com)))_{sp}$. **Proof.** To prove this property, we will show that the ordered disjoint union is induced by the operation on the Leibniz chain complex. The associativity on $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}]$ is clear since the operation is the ordered disjoint union of graphs. The associativity of the product defined on $(T(sp(\text{Com})))_{sp}$ follows from the fact that $T(sp(\text{Com}))_{sp}$ is isomorphic as vector space to $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}]$.

We focus into proving that the ordered disjoint union of graphs is induced by $T(sp(Com)) \otimes T(sp(Com)) \to T(sp(Com))$. Let G_1 and G_2 be two graphs. These graphs admit chord diagram representatives as constructed in the proof of proposition 7.1. Furthermore, by ϕ^{-1} these chord diagrams can be seen as a sum of monomials in variables y_{ij} . Last but not least, these monomials can be lifted up as a polynomial F_i in T(sp(Com)), for i = 1, 2, by the split S defined in remark 5.10. These two polynomials can be seen as included in $T(sp(\text{Com}) \times sp(\text{Com}))$ by decorating the variables of $F_1(p_1, q_1, \ldots)$ by ' and those of $F_2(p_1, q_1, \ldots)$ by Then apply the operation \oplus to them to obtain the following polynomial ″. $F_1(p_2, q_2, \ldots, p_{2i}, q_{2i}, \ldots) \otimes F_2(p_1, q_1, \ldots, p_{2i-1}, q_{2i-1}, \ldots)$. Then, by going through the isomorphism $(\varphi \circ \phi \circ T^*) \otimes (\varphi \circ \phi \circ T^*)$ we obtain the ordered disjoint union of the graphs. Indeed, a vertex links variables p and q of same indices, that is to say it links a p_i with a q_i . Therefore the shifting we did does not influence the vertices. Moreover it does not interfer in the decoration of the graph as the second graph will be decorated with numbers following those from the first graph.

To ease the comprehension of the proof, we consider the following example :

Example 9.5. Let G and H be the graphs of the above example 9.3. The associative product on these graphs is induced by the associative product on $(T(sp(\text{Com})))_{sp(\mathbb{K})}$. Indeed, by example 9.3 the two graphs admit representatives in $(T(sp(\text{Com})))_{sp(\mathbb{K})}$. Apply the product \bigoplus to these representatives gives the following :

$$p_2p_4p_6\otimes q_4p_8\otimes q_2q_6q_8\otimes p_1p_3\otimes q_1q_3\otimes p_5p_7\otimes q_5q_7$$
.

By $(\varphi \circ \phi \circ T^{\star}) \otimes (\varphi \circ \phi \circ T^{\star})$ we obtain the result in terms of graphs :

 $(\{1,\ldots,7\},\{\{1,2\},\{1,2\},\{2,3\},\{1,3\},\{4,5\},\{4,5\},\{6,7\},\{6,7\}\}),$

which is exactly $G \cdot H$.

Proposition 9.6. The induced product on the graph homology is associative and it is induced by the associative product on the Leibniz homology of $sp(\text{Com})_{sp(\mathbb{K})}$.

Proof. First, we show that the operation on the homology of graphs is associative. But, it is clear that $d \circ \mu - \mu \circ (\mathrm{Id} \otimes d + d \otimes \mathrm{Id}) = 0$ on the graph complex, proving the associativity of the induced operation. Then, we show that the induced operation on the Leibniz homology of $sp(\mathrm{Com})_{sp(\mathbb{K})}$ is associative. Remark that on $T(sp(\mathrm{Com}))$, the following holds :

$$d \circ \mu - \mu \circ (\mathrm{Id} \otimes d + d \otimes \mathrm{Id})(v_1 \dots v_p \otimes v_{p+1} \dots v_{p+q}) = \sum_{1 \le i \le p, p+1 \le j \le p+q} v_1 \dots \{v_i, v_j\} \dots \hat{v_j} \dots v_{p+q}$$

Burgunder

To prove the assertion, it suffices to remark that $T^* \circ (d \circ \mu - \mu \circ (\mathrm{Id} \otimes d + d \otimes \mathrm{Id}))) = 0$ thanks to the vector space isomorphism with the vector space spanned by graphs.

Proposition 9.7. There is a Zinbiel-associative bialgebra isomorphism between the Leibniz homology of sp(Com) and the homology of graphs :

 $HL_*(sp(Com)) \cong T(HL_*(\mathcal{G}_c^3))$.

Proof. First we need to show that a Zinbiel-associative structure on the Leibniz chain complex passes through homology. This is the case thanks to proposition 12.1 for the coproduct and proposition 9.6 for the product.

To define a Zinbiel-associative bialgebra structure on the Leibniz homology of sp(Com) it suffices to consider the operation and co-operation induced through the vector space isomorphism

$$HL_*(sp(Com)) \cong HL_*(sp(Com)_{sp(\mathbb{K})})$$
,

due to the Koszul trick.

Then, propositions 9.2 and 9.4 produce a Zinbiel-associative bialgebra isomorphism :

$$CL_*(sp(Com)) \cong C_*(\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}])$$
.

Then, apply the rigidity theorem 11.7 to the connected Zinbiel-associative bialgebra $C_*\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}]$:

$$C_*(\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}]) \cong T(\operatorname{Prim} \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}])$$

By proposition 2.17 the primitive graphs are the connected graphs. To conclude it suffices to realise that the subcomplexes considered in the third step are Zinbiel-associative subcomplexes.

9.4. Proof of the Kontsevich theorem. In this section, we give a short proof of Kontsevich's theorem in the flavour of the proof given in the Leibniz context.

The set of graphs that Kontsevich considers is the set of classes of symmetric graphs quotiented by the signed symmetric action, that we denote \mathfrak{G} . We denote \mathfrak{G}_c the set of connected graphs, and \mathfrak{G}_c^3 the set of connected graphs such that the vertices are of valency at least 3. These graphs are geometrically the same as those in the Leibniz context, but without the numbering on the vertices.

Kontsevich theorem is stated as follows :

Theorem 9.8. There exists a canonical co-commutative commutative bialgebra isomorphism :

$$H(sp(Com) \cong \Lambda(H(\mathfrak{G}_c^3)))$$

The skeleton of the proof is as follows :

First step, quotient the Chevalley-Eilenberg chain complex by the action of the reductive algebra $sp(\mathbb{K})$, thanks to the Koszul trick. Then apply the co-invariant theory to reduce the chain complex to the chain complex of chord diagrams (quotiented by the symmetric action). Then, Kontsevich's idea is to consider the graphs, to code the quotient of the chord diagrams. The computation of the homology can be reduced to the computation of the homology of the connected graphs, which can be moreover reduced thanks to explicit homotopy.

The Chevalley-Eilenberg chain complex of sp(Com) is quasi-isomorphisc to the Chevalley-Eilenberg chain complex of $sp(\text{Com})_{sp(\mathbb{K})}$ similarly to proposition 4.3:

$$H(sp(Com)) \cong H(sp(Com)_{sp(\mathbb{K})})$$
.

The co-invariant theory and direct computation gives a vector space isomorphism analogously to proposition 5.9 :

$$(\Lambda^{n}(sp(\operatorname{Com})))_{sp(\mathbb{K})} = \bigoplus_{\substack{k_{1}+\dots+k_{n}=2r\\k_{i}\geq 2}} ((A_{r})_{\Sigma_{k_{1}}\times\dots\times\Sigma_{k_{n}}})_{\Sigma_{n}} .$$

Propositions 6.3 and 7.1 still hold. Therefore, A_r is isomorphic to the vector space spanned by chord diagrams, which quotiented by the symetric action is isomorphic to the vector space spanned by graphs \mathcal{G} . It suffices to quotient the vector space of graphs \mathcal{G} by the symmetric action to conclude the existence of a vector space isomorphism :

$$H(sp(Com)) \cong H(\mathfrak{G})$$
 (2)

Any graph in \mathfrak{G} is a union of connected graphs, and the compatibility to the differential forces the existence of the following vector space isomorphism :

$$H(sp(\text{Com})) \cong \Lambda(H(\mathfrak{G}_c)) .$$
(3)

Similarly to proposition 8.1 and 8.2 the homology of the primitives can be reduced to the vector space of connected graphs with no bivalent vertices \mathfrak{G}_c^3 .

This isomorphism is shown to be a co-commutative commutative bialgebra isomorphism as follows. The chain complex of $sp(\operatorname{Com})_{sp(\mathbb{K})}$ admits a commutative and co-commutative bialgebra structure on the chain complex of graphs. The commutative operation on the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex of $sp(\operatorname{Com})_{sp(\mathbb{K})}$ is induced by the sum of matrices, see section 9. The diagonal map induces the co-commutative co-operation. The vector space isomorphism $C(sp(\operatorname{Com})) \cong$ $C(\mathbb{K}[\mathfrak{G}])$ induces a structure of commutative co-commutative bialgebra structure on the chain complex of graphs. Therefore the isomorphism of equation (2) is a co-commutative commutative co-commutative bialgebra $\mathbb{K}[\mathfrak{G}]$ is isomorphic to the bialgebra $\Lambda(\operatorname{Prim} \mathfrak{G})$, where $\operatorname{Prim} \mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{G}_c$. Then, to conclude it suffices to realise that the subcomplexes considered in the last step, namely the subcomplex on polygons, the subcomplex on graphs with at least a bivalent vertex, and the subcomplex on graphs $\mathbb{K}[\mathfrak{G}_c^3]$ are bialgebra subcomplexes.

Acknowledgement 9.1. I would like to thank Jean-Louis Loday and Alain Bruguières for their advisory. I am indebted to Belkacem Bendiffalah for introducing me to spectral sequences, to Jean-Michel Oudom for interesting discussions

Burgunder

and especially for the section ten, and to Pierre Cartier for a careful reading of the paper. This work has been partially supported by the ANR (Agence Nationnale de la Recherche) and by the project ECOS-Sud (Evaluation - Orientation de la Coopration Scientifique Sud).

Appendix : Associative-Zinbiel bialgebras [1]

There is a celebrated theorem for classical bialgebras known as the Milnor-Moore theorem which states that a connected co-commutative bialgebra can be reconstructed thanks to its primitive part. The goal of this appendix is to give an analogue of this theorem for connected Zinbiel-associative bialgebras and dually for connected associative-Zinbiel bialgebras.

10. The Associative-Zinbiel structure theorem

10.1. Zinbiel algebra [12].

Definition 10.1. A Zinbiel algebra is a vector space A endowed with a bilinear operation $\prec : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ verifying the following relation :

$$(x \prec y) \prec z = x \prec (y \prec z) + x \prec (z \prec y) , \quad \forall x, y, z \in A .$$

Moreover a Zinbiel algebra is said to be unital if it admits an element 1 such that for all $x \in A$ the following is verified :

$$\begin{cases} 1 \prec x = 0\\ x \prec 1 = x, \quad \forall x \in A, \end{cases}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

Note that $1 \prec 1$ is not defined.

Remark that the operation $* : A \times A \to A : (x, y) \longmapsto x \prec y + y \prec x$ is associative, commutative and unital.

Definition 10.2. Let A_0 be a Zinbiel algebra. This algebra is *free over the* vector space V, if it satisfies the following universal property. Any map $f: V \to A$, where A is any Zinbiel algebra, extends uniquely into a Zinbiel morphism $\tilde{f}: A_0 \to A$. This can be summarised in the commutation of the following diagram :

$$V \xrightarrow{i} A_0$$

$$\downarrow_{\tilde{f}}$$

$$\downarrow_{\tilde{f}}$$

$$A$$

Definition 10.3. The shuffle algebra is the tensor module T(V) over the vector space V endowed with the following operation $\sqcup \sqcup : T(V) \otimes T(V) \to T(V)$ defined as :

$$v_1 \cdots v_p \sqcup \sqcup v_{p+1} \cdots v_n := \sum_{\underline{i} \in Sh_{p,q}} v_{i_1} \ldots v_{i_n} \in V^{\otimes n} ,$$

where the sum is extended to the (p,q)-shuffles \underline{i} , i.e. the multi-indice $\underline{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_n)$ has the property that $1, \ldots, p$ are in this order and so are $p+1, \ldots, n$.

Proposition 10.4. The free Zinbiel algebra over the vector space V, denoted Zinb(V), is unique up to isomorphisms and can be identified to $(T(V), \prec)$ where \prec is the half-shuffle defined as :

$$v_1 \cdots v_p \prec v_{p+1} \cdots v_n := v_1 (v_2 \cdots v_p \sqcup v_{p+1} \cdots v_n)$$
.

10.2. Recall on associative coalgebra. This section is mainly to fix notations.

Definition 10.5. A coassociative coalgebra, is a vector space endowed with a cooperation $\Delta : C \xrightarrow{\Delta} C \otimes C$ and a co-unit $c : C \to \mathbb{K}$ which verify the two following commutative diagrams :



Definition 10.6. A coalgebra is said to be *connected* if it is coaugmented and if it verifies the following property:

$$\begin{split} H &= \bigcup_{r \geq 0} F_r H , \\ \text{where } F_0 &:= \mathbb{K}1 , \\ \text{and, by induction } F_r &:= \left\{ x \in H \mid \bar{\Delta}(x) \in F_{r-1} \otimes F_{r-1} \right\} , \end{split}$$

where, $\overline{\Delta}(x) = \Delta(x) - 1 \otimes x - x \otimes 1$.

The primitive part of a coalgebra is defined as $\operatorname{Prim} H := \{x \in H \mid \overline{\Delta}(x) = 0\}$. Note that the connectedness only depends on the cooperation and the unit.

Definition 10.7. A connected coalgebra C_0 is said to be *free over the vector* space V if there exists a map $p: C_0 \to V$ which satisfies the following universal property :

any map $\Phi: C \to V$, where C is a coaugmented connected coalgebra, such that $\Phi(1) = 0$, extends uniquely in a coalgebra morphism $\tilde{\Phi}: C \to C_0$. This can be summed up in the following commutative diagram :



Definition 10.8. The tensor module T(V) over the vector space V can be endowed with a structure of coalgebra with the cooperation Δ defined as :

$$\Delta(v_1 \cdots v_n) = \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} v_1 \cdots v_p \otimes v_{p+1} \cdots v_n + 1 \otimes v_1 \cdots v_n + v_1 \cdots v_n \otimes 1 ,$$

with,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Delta(1) &=& 1 \otimes 1 \ , \\ \Delta(v) &=& v \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes v \ , \quad v \in V \ , \end{array}$$

and the counit $c: T(V) \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is the projection on the first factor V.

Proposition 10.9. The tensor coalgebra is the free connected coalgebra up to isomorphisms.

10.3. The Associative-Zinbiel bialgebra.

Definition 10.10. An associative-Zinbiel bialgebra (As-Zinb) is a vector space \mathcal{H} endowed with a structure of associative counitary coalgebra $\Delta : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$, $c : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{K}$, a structure of unitary Zinbiel algebra $\prec : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}, u : \mathbb{K} \to \mathcal{H}$ such that the following compatibility relation is verified :

$$\Delta(x \prec y) = x_1 \prec y_1 \otimes x_2 * y_2, \ \forall x, y \in H,$$

with the following convention $(1 \prec 1) \otimes (x \ast y) = 1 \otimes (x \prec y)$. We used the Sweedler notation : $\Delta(x) = x_1 \otimes x_2$.

Example 10.11. The tensor module T(V) endowed with the deconcatenation and the half-shuffle product is an associative-Zinbiel bialgebra.

Theorem 10.12. Let H be an As-Zinb bialgebra over a field \mathbb{K} of any characteristic. The following are equivalent :

- 1. H is connected,
- 2. *H* is isomorphic to $(Zinb(V), \prec, \Delta)$ as a bialgebra.

This theorem can now be seen as a particular case of the structure theorem for associative-dendriform bialgebras done by M. Ronco in [19]. To do so one must rephrase her article in terms of generalised bialgebra theory and realise that a Zinbiel algebra is a kind of commutative Dendriform algebra. Then, one can show that the primitive structure found in the Associative-Dendriform case crushes to a vector space structure, [1]. We give in this paper a straightforward proof of the theorem.

10.4. Proof of the theorem.

Definition 10.13. Let H be a Zinbiel algebra. The *convolution* of two Zinbiel algebra morphisms $f: H \to H$ and $g: H \to H$ are defined by:

$$f \star g := \prec \circ (f \otimes g) \circ \Delta : H \to H .$$

Note that this convolution is not associative.

Lemma 10.14. Let $H := \mathbb{K} \oplus \overline{H}$ be an Zinbiel-associative bialgebra. The map $e : H \longrightarrow H$ is defined :

$$e := J - J \star J + (J \star J) \star J - \dots + (-1)^{n-1} \star^n J + \dots$$
(5)

where J := Id - uc and ${}^{\star n}J := (\cdots ((J \star J) \star J) \cdots \star J)$ and satisfies the following properties :

- 1. Im $e = \operatorname{Prim} H$,
- 2. $\forall x, y \in \overline{H}, e(x \prec y) = 0,$
- 3. e is an idempotent,
- 4. for $H = (Zinb(V)_+, \prec, \Delta)$ defined above, e is the identity on V and zero on the other components.

Proof. Note that $e = \operatorname{Id} - \mu \circ \Delta_{\prec}$. The first assertion is proved by induction on the degree of $x \in F_r \overline{H}$. The second assertion is proven by the bialgebra compatibility relation. The third assertion is obtained by direct computation taking into account the second assertion. The last assertion is done by direct computation with the second assertion.

Definition 10.15. Let PBT_n define the set of planar binary rooted trees with n leaves. We define the operations in the free magmatic algebra $Mag(V) := \mathbb{K} \oplus_{n>0} \mathbb{K}[PBT_n] \otimes V^{\otimes n}$, for all $T \in Mag(V)$,

$$T^{\cdot n} := (T \cdot (T \cdots (T \cdot (T \cdot T))))$$

$${}^{\cdot n}T := ((((T \cdot T) \cdot T) \cdots) \cdot T)$$

We define the completion of the magmatic algebra $Mag(\mathbb{K})^{\wedge}$ as $Mag(\mathbb{K})^{\wedge} = \prod_{n\geq 0} \mathbb{K}[PBT_n]$, where we denote the first generator | by t. This allows to define formal series in $Mag(\mathbb{K})^{\wedge}$.

Proposition 10.16. In $Mag(\mathbb{K})^{\wedge}$, the formal series

$$g(t) = t - {}^{\cdot 2}t + {}^{\cdot 3}t + \dots + (-1)^{n+1} {}^{\cdot n}t + \dots$$

$$f(t) = t + t^{\cdot 2} + t^{\cdot 3} + \dots + t^{\cdot n} + \dots ,$$

are inverse for the composition.

Proof. The proof is done by induction. Direct calculation shows that up to rank 1 the property is verified. Suppose that the property is verified up to rank

Burgunder

n, then :

$$(f \circ g(t))_{n+1} = \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_q = n+1} (-1)^{n-q} (\dots ((t^{i_1} \cdot t^{i_2}) \cdot t^{i_3}) \dots t^{i_q})$$

=
$$\sum_{i_q} (-1)^{n-q} \Big(\sum_{\substack{i_1 + \dots + i_{q-1} = n+1 - i_q \\ \downarrow_1 + \dots + i_{q-1} = n+1 - i_q}} (-1)^{n-q} (\dots ((t^{i_1} \cdot t^{i_2}) \dots t^{i_{q-1}})) \cdot t^{i_q})$$

by induction=0

We verify that the right inverse is a left inverse too, as in the associative context :

$$f^{-1} = f^{-1} \circ (f \circ g) = (f^{-1} \circ f) \circ g = g$$
.

Therefore, we proved $f \circ g = Id$ and $g \circ f = Id$.

Proof. [Proof of theorem 10.12] We denote $V := \operatorname{Prim} H$. We define the map

$$G: \overline{H} \longrightarrow Zinb(V): x \mapsto J(x) + \sum (-1)^{n-1 \star n} J(x) ,$$

where, ${}^{\star n}\!J := (((J \star J) \star \cdots \star J) \star J)$ and the map

$$F: Zinb(V) \longrightarrow \overline{H}: x \mapsto J(x) + \sum J^{\star n}(x) ,$$

where, $J^{\star n} := (J \star (J \star (\cdots \star (J \star J))))$. Moreover, we define the two formal series in $Mag(\mathbb{K})^{\wedge}$:

$$g(t) = t - {}^{\cdot 2}t + {}^{\cdot 3}t + \dots + (-1)^{n+1} {}^{\cdot n}t + \dots$$

$$f(t) = t + t^2 + t \cdot t^2 + \dots + (t \cdot (t \cdot (\dots (t \cdot t^2)))) + \dots$$

$$= t + t^{\cdot 2} + t^{\cdot 3} + \dots + t^{\cdot n} + \dots,$$

which are inverse for the composition by proposition 10.16. We apply these series on $Hom_{\mathbb{K}}(H, H)$ sending 1 on 0 using \star as multiplication, thanks to the following map :

$$\begin{array}{rcccc} Mag(\mathbb{K})^{\wedge} & \longrightarrow & Hom_{\mathbb{K}}(H,H) \\ t & \mapsto & J \\ \phi(t) = \sum a_{n}t^{\cdot n} & \mapsto & \phi^{\star}(J) = \Phi(x) = \sum a_{n}J^{\star n}(x) \\ \psi(t) = \sum b_{n}{}^{\cdot n}t & \mapsto & \psi^{\star}(J) = \Psi(x) = \sum b_{n}{}^{\star n}J(x) \\ \phi \circ \psi(t) & \mapsto & (\phi \circ \psi)^{\star}(J) = \Phi \circ \Psi(x) = \phi^{\star}(J) \circ \psi^{\star}(J) \end{array}$$

We obtain $e = g^* J$ and

$$F \circ G = f^* \circ g^*(J) = (f \circ g)^*(J) = Id^*(J) = J ,$$

$$G \circ F = g^* \circ f^*(J) = (g \circ f)^*(J) = Id^*(J) = J .$$

This ends the proof as J = Id on \overline{H} .

11. The Zinbiel-Associative structure theorem

This section is just a dualisation of the above section.

Definition 11.1. A Zinbiel coalgebra is a vector space C endowed with a cooperation $\Delta_{\prec} : C \to C \otimes C$ such that :

$$(\Delta_{\prec} \otimes \mathrm{Id}) \circ \Delta_{\prec} = (\mathrm{Id} \otimes \Delta_{\prec}) \circ \Delta_{\prec} + (\mathrm{Id} \otimes \tau \Delta_{\prec}) \circ \Delta_{\prec} ,$$

where $\tau : C \otimes C \to C \otimes C$ is the map which interchanges the two factors: $\tau(x \otimes y) = y \otimes x$.

A Zinbiel coalgebra is said to be *counital* if it admits a linear map $c: C \to \mathbb{K}$ such that :

$$\begin{cases} (c \otimes \mathrm{Id}) \circ \Delta_{\prec} = 0, \\ (\mathrm{Id} \otimes c) \circ \Delta_{\prec} = \mathrm{Id}. \end{cases}$$

It is to be noted that $(c \otimes c) \circ \Delta_{\prec}$ is not defined. This notion is dual to the notion of Zinbiel algebra (originally called dual Leibniz algebra in [12])

Remark 11.2. The co-operation $\Delta := \tau \Delta_{\prec} + \Delta_{\prec} : C \to C \otimes C$ is coassociative co-commutative and counital.

Definition 11.3. A connected coalgebra $H = \mathbb{K} \oplus \overline{H}$ is a coalgebra verifying the following property :

$$H = \bigcup_{r \ge 0} F_r H ,$$

where $F_0 := \mathbb{K}1 ,$
and by induction $F_r := \{ x \in H \mid \overline{\Delta_{\prec}}(x) \in F_{r-1} \otimes F_{r-1} \}$

where $\overline{\Delta_{\prec}}(x) = \Delta_{\prec}(x) - x \otimes 1$.

Example 11.4. A *co-shuffle* coproduct can be defined on the tensor module T(V) over a vector space V as follows :

$$\coprod^{\star} (v_1 \cdots v_p v_{p+1} \cdots v_n) := \sum_{p+q=n} \sum_{\underline{i} \in Sh_{p,q}} v_{i_1} \dots v_{i_p} \otimes v_{i_{p+1}} \dots v_{i_n} \in V^{\otimes n} ,$$

where the sum is extended over all (p,q)-shuffles \underline{i} (i.e. in the multi-index $\underline{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_n)$ the integers $1, \ldots, p$ are ordered and so are $p + 1, \ldots, n$).

The tensor module T(V) endowed with the *co-half shuffle* $\Delta_{\prec} := \mathrm{Id} \otimes \sqcup \sqcup^*$ is the cofree Zinbiel coalgebra.

Definition 11.5. A Zinbiel-associative bialgebra $H = (H, \mu, \Delta_{\prec})$ is a vector space $H = \overline{H} \oplus \mathbb{K}$ 1 endowed with a co-unital Zinbiel co-operation Δ_{\prec} and an associative operation μ verifying the following compatibility relation :

$$\Delta_{\prec} \circ \mu = (\mu \otimes \mu) \circ (\mathrm{Id} \otimes \tau \otimes \mathrm{Id}) \circ (\Delta_{\prec} \otimes \Delta) ,$$

Example 11.6. The tensor module endowed with the concatenation product \cdot and the co-half shuffle Δ_{\prec} is a Zinbiel-associative bialgebra.

Burgunder

Theorem 11.7 (cf. [1]). Let \mathcal{H} be a Zinbiel-associative bialgebra over the field \mathbb{K} without any assumption on its characteristic. The following are equivalent :

- 1. H is connected,
- 2. *H* is isomorphic to $(T(V), \cdot, \Delta_{\prec})$.

12. Leibniz homology and the Zinbiel coalgebra structure [11]

Let V be a vector space. Let Δ_{\prec} denote the co-half shuffle defined on the tensor module T(V).

Proposition 12.1. Let $\Delta^{p,q}_{\prec}$ denote the projection of Δ_{\prec} on the vector space $V^{\otimes p} \otimes V^{\otimes q}$. Let d^n be the Leibniz differential on $V^{\otimes n}$. Then the following holds :

$$\Delta^{p,q}_{\prec} \circ d^{p+q+1} = (d^{p+1} \otimes \mathrm{Id}) \circ \Delta^{p+1,q}_{\prec} + (\mathrm{Id} \otimes d^{q+1}) \circ \Delta^{p,q+1}_{\prec}$$

The proof is done by dualizing the proof of J.-L. Loday in [11].

Proof. First, we shall compute the number of terms appearing on each side of the equation. On the right hand side there are exactly :

$$\binom{p+q-1}{p-1}\frac{(p+q)(p+q+1)}{2} = \frac{(p+q+1)!}{2(p-1)!q!}$$

terms. On the left hand side there are :

$$\frac{p(p+1)}{2} \binom{p+q}{q} + \frac{q(q+1)}{2} \binom{p+q}{p-1} = \frac{(p+q+1)!}{2(p-1)!q!}$$

terms. The number of terms appearing in each parts of the equation coincide. It suffices therefore to check that any term on the left side belongs to the set of elements appearing in the right hand side.

To ease the proof we introduce the following operator $\delta_i^j : V^{\otimes n} \to V^{\otimes n-1}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ is defined by :

$$\delta_i^j(x_1\ldots x_n) := x_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes [x_i, x_j] \otimes \ldots \otimes x_n ,$$

so that $d^n = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} (-1)^j \delta_i^j$.

There are two cases to be considered. Let σ^* be a (p,q) co-shuffle. Consider the element $(\mathrm{Id} \otimes \delta_k^l) \circ (\mathrm{Id} \otimes \sigma^*)$ where $1 \leq k < l \leq p$. This operator is part of $\Delta_{\prec}^{p,q} \circ d^{p+q+1}$. Indeed, $(\mathrm{Id} \otimes \delta_k^l) \circ (\mathrm{Id} \otimes \sigma^*) = (\mathrm{Id} \otimes \omega^*) \circ \delta_{\sigma^*(k)}^{\sigma^*(l)}$ for a certain (p-1,q)-coshuffle ω .

The other case is treated analogously, and this ends the proof.

Corollary 12.2. Let (\mathcal{H}, d) be a graded connected differential Zinbiel-associative bialgebra. Then,

$$H_*(\mathcal{H}, d) \cong T(H_*(\operatorname{Prim} \mathcal{H}, d))$$
.

The primitive part of the homology of a Zinbiel-associative bialgebra is the tensor module of the homology of the primitive part of the Zinbiel-associative bialgebra. **Proof.** By the above theorem 11.7, we can restrict ourselves to prove the following :

$$H_*(T(\operatorname{Prim} \mathcal{H}, d)) = T(H_*(\operatorname{Prim} \mathcal{H}, d))$$
.

And it is well known that the two functors T and H_* commute, see [18] appendix B.

References

- [1] Burgunder, E., *Bigèbre magmatique et bigèbre Associative-Zinbiel*, Mémoire de Master, Strasbourg (2005).
- [2] Conant, J., Vogtmann, K., On a Theorem of Kontsevich, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 3 (2003), 1167-1224.
- [3] Cuvier, C., Algèbres de Leibniz : définitions, propriétés, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 27 (1994), no. 1, 1–45.
- [4] Gerlits, F., *Invariants in chain complexes of graphs*, Cornell Ph.D. thesis, (2002).
- [5] Goodmann, R., Wallach, N.R., Representation and invariant theory for classical groups, Cambridge University Press, (2003).
- [6] Hamilton, A., A super-analogue of Kontsevich's Theorem on graph homology, Lett. Math. Phys. 76 (2006), no. 1, 37–55.
- [7] Hamilton, A., Lazarev, A., Graph cohomology classes in the Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism, Journal of Geometry and Physics, 59 (2009), no. 5, 555–575.
- [8] Kontsevich, M., Formal (non)commutative symplectic geometry, The Gelfand Mathematical Seminars, 1990–1992, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, (1993), 173–187.
- Kontsevich, M., Feynman diagrams and low-dimensional topology, First European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. II (Paris, 1992), 97121, Progr. Math., 120, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1994.
- [10] Loday, J.-L., Cyclic Homology, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 301 (1992).
- [11] Loday, J.-L., Une version non commutative des algèbres de Lie : les algèbres de Leibniz, Enseign. Math. (2) 39 (1993), no. 3–4, 269–293.
- [12] Loday, J.-L., Cup product for Leibniz cohomology and dual Leibniz algebras, Math. Scand. 77 (1995), no. 2, 189–196.
- [13] Loday, J.-L., Quillen, D., Cyclic homology and the Lie algebra homology of matrices, Comment. Math. Helvetici, 59 (1984), 565–591.

- [14] Mahajan, S., Symplectic operad geometry and graph homology, arXiv:math.QA/0211464.
- [15] Oudom, J.-M., Cogèbres de Leibniz duales et homologie des algèbres de Leibniz, thèse, Montpellier, (1997).
- [16] Pirashvili, T., On Leibniz homology, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 44 (1994), no. 2, 401–411.
- [17] Procesi, C., The invariant theory of $n \times n$ matrices, Advances in Math. 19 (1976), no. 3, 306–381.
- [18] Quillen, D., Rational homotopy theory, Ann. of Math. (2) 90 (1969), 205–295.
- [19] Ronco, M., Primitive elements in a free dendriform algebra, New trends in Hopf algebra theory, Contemp. Math., 267, (La Falda, 1999), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 245–263.
- [20] Tsygan, B. L., The homology of matrix Lie algebras over rings and the Hochschild homology, Upekhi Mat. Nauk 38, (1983), 217–218, Russ. Math. Survey, 38 (1983), no. 2, 198–199.

Emily Burgunder Institut de Mathématiques et de modélisation de Montpellier Place Eugène Bataillon 34095 Montpellier, France burgunder@math.univ-montp2.fr

Received February 20, 2009 and in final form February 20, 2010